arXiv:1001.5397v1 [math.AC] 29 Jan 2010

IDEALS OF HERZOG-NORTHCOTT TYPE

LIAM O'CARROLL AND FRANCESC PLANAS-VILANOVA

Abstract. This paper takes a new look at ideals generated by 2×2 minors of 2×3 matrices whose entries are powers of three elements not necessarily forming a regular sequence. A special case of this are the ideals determining monomial curves in three dimensional space, which were already studied by Herzog. In the broader context studied here, these ideals are identified as Northcott ideals in the sense of Vasconcelos, and so their liaison properties are displayed. It is shown that they are set-theoretically complete intersections, revisiting the work of Bresinsky and of Valla. Even when the three elements are taken to be variables in a polynomial ring in three variables over a field, this point of view gives a larger class of ideals than just the defining ideals of monomial curves. We then characterize when the ideals in this larger class are prime, we show that they are usually radical and, using the theory of multiplicities, we give upper bounds on the number of their minimal prime ideals, one of these primes being a uniquely determined prime ideal of definition of a monomial curve. Finally, we provide examples of characteristic-dependent minimal prime and primary structures for these ideals.

1. Introduction

Let A be a commutative Noetherian ring (with identity) and x_1, x_2, x_3 a sequence of elements of A generating a proper ideal of height 3. Set $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and take $a = (a_1, a_2, a_3) \in \mathbb{N}_0^3$ and $b = (b_1, b_2, b_3) \in \mathbb{N}_0^3$. Let $c = a + b$, $c = (c_1, c_2, c_3)$. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the matrix

$$\mathcal{M} = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} x_1^{a_1} & x_2^{a_2} & x_3^{a_3} \\ x_2^{b_2} & x_3^{b_3} & x_1^{b_1} \end{array} \right),$$

and $v_1 = x_1^{c_1} - x_2^{b_2}x_3^{a_3}$, $v_2 = x_2^{c_2} - x_1^{a_1}x_3^{b_3}$ and $D = x_3^{c_3} - x_1^{b_1}x_2^{a_2}$, the 2×2 minors of $\mathcal{M}$ up to a change of sign. Consider $I_2(\mathcal{M}) = (v_1, v_2, D)$, the determinantal ideal generated by the 2×2 minors of $\mathcal{M}$. Note that $x_2^{b_2}D = -x_3^{b_3}v_1 - x_1^{b_1}v_2$, so that, if $b_2 = 0$, then $I_2(\mathcal{M}) = (v_1, v_2)$.

Our motivation to consider these ideals comes from the following well-known result of Herzog in [9] (see also [13, pages 138-139]). Take the irreducible affine space curve of $\mathbb{A}_k^3 = k^3$, k a field, given by the parametrization $x_1 = t^{n_1}$, $x_2 = t^{n_2}$, $x_3 = t^{n_3}$, where $n = (n_1, n_2, n_3) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ ($n_i > 0$), with $\gcd(n_1, n_2, n_3) = 1$. Let $\mathfrak{p}_n$ be the vanishing ideal of this curve, i.e., the height 2 prime ideal of the polynomial ring in three variables $A = k[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ defined as the kernel of the natural morphism $\varphi : k[x_1, x_2, x_3] \to k[t]$, $\varphi(x_i) = t^{n_i}$. We will call $\mathfrak{p}_n$

Date: January 29, 2010.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13A15, 13C40, 13H15, 13D02.

Key words and phrases. Herzog ideal; Northcott ideal; almost complete intersection; liaison; associative law of multiplicities.

the *Herzog ideal associated to* $n = (n_1, n_2, n_3)$ (see Section 7 for more details concerning this definition; note that Huneke in [10] used the term Herzog ideals for a different class of ideals). Herzog proved that $\mathfrak{p}_n$ is either a complete intersection or an almost complete intersection ideal (in the sense of [8]). Concretely, with a suitable numbering of the variables, $\mathfrak{p}_n$ has a set of generators of one of the two following types:

(ci): $v_1 = x_1^{c_1} - x_3^{a_3}$, $v_2 = x_2^{a_2} - x_1^{a_1}x_3^{b_3}$, $c_1, a_2, a_3 \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_1 b_3 \neq 0$ (here $b_2 = 0$);
(aci): $v_1 = x_1^{c_1} - x_2^{b_2}x_3^{a_3}$, $v_2 = x_2^{c_2} - x_1^{a_1}x_3^{b_3}$ and $D = x_3^{c_3} - x_1^{b_1}x_2^{a_2}$, with $a, b \in \mathbb{N}^3$.

In other words, a Herzog ideal can always be seen as an ideal of the type $I_2(\mathcal{M}) = (v_1, v_2, D)$, for some appropriate $a, b \in \mathbb{N}_0^3$. However, even in the case of a polynomial ring, an ideal of the form $I_2(\mathcal{M}) = (v_1, v_2, D)$ is not always a Herzog ideal because (as we will show) it might not be a prime ideal (see Theorem 7.8), whereas a Herzog ideal is prime by definition.

Herzog also proved that $\mathfrak{p}_n$ is always a set-theoretic complete intersection. Subsequently Bresinsky and Valla gave constructive proofs that an ideal of the form $I_2(\mathcal{M})$ is a set-theoretic complete intersection ([2] and [19, Theorem 3.1]), the first in the polynomial case $A = k[x_1, x_2, x_3]$, the latter in our setting and using a general result on determinantal ideals proved by Eagon and Northcott ([4, Theorem 3]).

We recently made use of Herzog ideals in order to produce a negative answer to a long-standing question about the uniform Artin-Rees property on the prime spectrum of an excellent ring. Concretely, for $s \in \mathbb{N}$, $s \geq 4$, and $n_1(s) = s^2 - 3s + 1$, $n_2(s) = s^2 - 3s + 3$ and $n_3(s) = s^2 - s + 1$, $n(s) = (n_1(s), n_2(s), n_3(s)) \in \mathbb{N}^3$, the one parameter family of (non complete intersection) Herzog ideals $\mathfrak{p}_{n(s)}$ satisfies the relation that, for all $s \geq 4$, $\mathfrak{p}_{n(s)}^s \cap x_3 A \supsetneq \mathfrak{p}_{n(s)}(\mathfrak{p}_{n(s)}^{s-1} \cap x_3 A)$ (see [15]).

On the other hand, in [14], Northcott considered the following situation: let $u = u_1, \ldots, u_r$ and $v = v_1, \ldots, v_r$ be two sets of r elements of a Noetherian ring A, connected by the relations:

$$\begin{cases} v_1 &= a_{1,1}u_1 + a_{1,2}u_2 + \ldots + a_{1,r}u_r, \\ v_2 &= a_{2,1}u_1 + a_{2,2}u_2 + \ldots + a_{2,r}u_r, \\ & \qquad\qquad \cdots \\ v_r &= a_{r,1}u_1 + a_{r,2}u_2 + \ldots + a_{r,r}u_r, \end{cases}$$

with $a_{i,j} \in A$. Let D stand for the determinant of the $r \times r$ matrix $\Phi = (a_{i,j})$. Northcott proved that if $(v_1, \ldots, v_r)$ has grade r and $(v_1, \ldots, v_r, D)$ is proper, then the projective dimension of $A/(v_1, \ldots, v_r, D)$ is r, and $(v_1, \ldots, v_r, D)$ and all its associated prime ideals have grade r ([14, Theorem 2]). Subsequently, Vasconcelos called such an ideal $(v_1, \ldots, v_r, D)$ the *Northcott ideal associated to* Φ *and* u (see e.g. [20, page 100]).

Take now $r = 2$, $u_1 = x_1^{a_1}$, $u_2 = -x_2^{b_2}$ and $v_1 = x_1^{c_1} - x_2^{b_2}x_3^{a_3}$, $v_2 = x_2^{c_2} - x_1^{a_1}x_3^{b_3}$ the aforementioned first two generators of $I_2(\mathcal{M}) = (v_1, v_2, D)$. Let Φ be the 2×2 matrix defined by

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} x_1^{b_1} & x_3^{a_3} \\ -x_3^{b_3} & -x_2^{a_2} \end{pmatrix},$$

whose determinant, note, is just $D = x_3^{c_3} - x_1^{b_1}x_2^{a_2}$, the third generator of $I_2(\mathcal{M})$. We clearly have $\Phi \cdot [u]^\top = [v]^\top$. In other words, the ideal $I_2(\mathcal{M}) = (v_1, v_2, D)$ (in particular, a Herzog ideal) can be viewed as a Northcott ideal whenever the ideal (v_1, v_2) has grade 2. This fact, though simple, was extremely useful in proving the main result in [15]. As a consequence, it awakened our interest in this family of ideals. In this paper, we intend to study their general properties, though we will restrict ourselves just to the case $a, b \in \mathbb{N}^3$, i.e., $a_i, b_j > 0$. For ease of reference, we state the following definition.

Definition 1.1. Let A be a commutative Noetherian ring and $x = x_1, x_2, x_3$ a sequence of elements of A generating an ideal of height 3. Let $a = (a_1, a_2, a_3) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ and $b = (b_1, b_2, b_3) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ $(a_i, b_j > 0)$ and set $c = a + b$, $c = (c_1, c_2, c_3)$. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the matrix

$$\mathcal{M} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1^{a_1} & x_2^{a_2} & x_3^{a_3} \\ x_2^{b_2} & x_3^{b_3} & x_1^{b_1} \end{pmatrix},$$

and $v_1 = x_1^{c_1} - x_2^{b_2}x_3^{a_3}$, $v_2 = x_2^{c_2} - x_1^{a_1}x_3^{b_3}$ and $D = x_3^{c_3} - x_1^{b_1}x_2^{a_2}$, the 2×2 minors of $\mathcal{M}$ up to a change of sign. The ideal $I = I_2(\mathcal{M}) = (v_1, v_2, D)$ will be called the *Herzog-Northcott* (HN, for short) *ideal associated to* $\mathcal{M}$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we start with a few preliminary results. In Section 3, following Bresinsky's ideas in [2], we prove, in our general setting, that HN ideals are set-theoretically complete intersections, thus recovering the result of Valla. Concretely, we prove that the element g_B considered by Bresinsky verifies $\mathrm{rad}(I) = \mathrm{rad}(v_1, g_B)$ in complete generality (see Theorem 3.1). Comparing the element g_B given by Bresinsky and the one g_V given by Valla, we show that they are equal modulo (v_1) under mild hypotheses and are in general closely related. Section 4 is mainly devoted to studying the condition $\mathrm{grade}(v_1, v_2) = 2$. In Sections 5 and 6, and supposing that $x = x_1, x_2, x_3$ is a regular sequence and that (v) has grade 2, we prove that HN ideals are geometrically linked to a complete intersection and that they are almost complete intersections (in the sense of [8]). From Section 7 to the end of the paper, we restrict ourselves to the case of the polynomial ring $A = k[x_1, x_2, x_3]$, k a field and $x = x_1, x_2, x_3$ three variables over k. Then, in Section 7, we characterize when an HN ideal is a prime ideal. To do this, we first consider an integer vector $m(I) = (m_1, m_2, m_3) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ associated to I and the corresponding Herzog (prime) ideal $\mathfrak{p}_{m(I)}$. Then we prove that I being prime, $\gcd(m(I)) = 1$ and $I = \mathfrak{p}_{m(I)}$ are three equivalent conditions (see Theorem 7.8). Section 8 is devoted to finding a bound for the number of minimal components of an HN ideal. Concretely, we prove that the number of minimal components of an HN ideal I is bounded above in terms of the greatest common divisor of any pair (m_i, m_j), $i \neq j$, where $(m_1, m_2, m_3) = m(I)$ is the integer vector associated to I

(Theorem 8.3). Finally, in Section 9, and provided that k has characteristic zero or is big enough, we prove that an HN ideal is always radical (Theorem 9.1). We finish by giving some illustrative examples.

2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

In this section, A will be a commutative Noetherian ring and $x = x_1, x_2, x_3$ a sequence of elements of A generating a proper ideal of height 3. We keep the notations of Section 1, i.e., $v_1 = x_1^{c_1} - x_2^{b_2}x_3^{a_3}$, $v_2 = x_2^{c_2} - x_1^{a_1}x_3^{b_3}$ and $D = x_3^{c_3} - x_1^{b_1}x_2^{a_2}$, and $u_1 = x_1^{a_1}$ and $u_2 = -x_2^{b_2}$, where $a, b \in \mathbb{N}^3$. In particular, set $I = I_2(\mathcal{M}) = (v_1, v_2, D)$.

Remark 2.1. Let I be an HN ideal. Then $\operatorname{rad}(v) = \operatorname{rad}(I) \cap \operatorname{rad}(u)$.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{p}$ a prime ideal of A. Suppose that $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq (v)$. Then $x_2^{b_2}D = -x_3^{b_3}v_1 - x_1^{b_1}v_2 \in \mathfrak{p}$. If $x_2 \notin \mathfrak{p}$, then $D \in \mathfrak{p}$ and $I \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$. On the other hand, if $x_2 \in \mathfrak{p}$, then $x_1 \in \mathfrak{p}$ since $v_1 \in \mathfrak{p}$. It follows that $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq I$ or $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq (u)$, or equivalently $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq I \cap (u)$. Conversely, it is immediate that if $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq I \cap (u)$, then $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq I \supseteq (v)$ or $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq (u) \supseteq (v)$. ☐

For stronger results we need more restrictive conditions; see Proposition 2.2 (a) and Corollary 2.3 below. The following proposition is a direct consequence of the work of Northcott in [14].

Proposition 2.2. *Let I be an HN ideal. Suppose that* $\operatorname{grade}(v) = 2$.

- (a) *Then $(v) : D = (u)$ and $(v) : I = (u)$.*
- (b) *The ideals (v), I and (u) are grade-unmixed of grade 2.*
- (c) *Moreover, if $x = x_1, x_2, x_3$ is a regular sequence, then each of x_1, x_2, x_3 is regular modulo I.*

Proof. The first part of (a) follows from [14, Proposition 1] (which does not require the ring to be local), and that $(v) : I = (u)$ follows from the equality $(v) : D = (u)$. By [12, Theorem 130], (v) is grade-unmixed and by [14, Theorem 2], I also has grade 2 and is grade-unmixed. From a minimal primary decomposition of (v) and the equality $(v) : D = (u)$, one can extract another minimal primary decomposition of (u), and hence (u) is grade-unmixed and clearly has grade 2. Moreover, if $x = x_1, x_2, x_3$ is a regular sequence and if some x_i were in an associated prime $\mathfrak{p}$ of I, then $\mathfrak{p}$ would contain x_1, x_2, x_3, a contradiction, since $\mathfrak{p}$ has grade 2 by part (b). ☐

Corollary 2.3. *Let I be an HN ideal. Suppose that $x = x_1, x_2, x_3$ is a regular sequence and that* $\operatorname{grade}(v) = 2$. *Then $I \cap (u) = (v)$.*

Proof. Clearly $(v) \subseteq I \cap (u)$ and, by Cramer's rule, $D \cdot (u) \subset (v)$. To see that $I \cap (u) \subseteq (v)$, it suffices to show $(u) : D = (u)$, for if $z \in I \cap (u)$, then $z = w + qD \in (u)$, with $w \in (v) \subseteq (u)$. Thus $qD \in (u)$ and $q \in (u) : D = (u)$ would follow. Therefore one would deduce that $qD \in (v)$ and $z \in (v)$. But D is indeed regular modulo (u). Were D in an associated prime

$\mathfrak{p}$ of (u), then $\mathfrak{p}$ would contain the regular sequence x_1, x_2, x_3, a contradiction, since $\mathfrak{p}$ has grade 2 by Proposition 2.2 (b). $\square$

3. HN IDEALS ARE SET THEORETICALLY COMPLETE INTERSECTIONS

In this section, A will be a commutative Noetherian ring and $x = x_1, x_2, x_3$ a sequence of elements of A generating a proper ideal of height 3. We keep the notations of Section 1, i.e., $v_1 = x_1^{c_1} - x_2^{b_2}x_3^{a_3}$, $v_2 = x_2^{c_2} - x_1^{a_1}x_3^{b_3}$ and $D = x_3^{c_3} - x_1^{b_1}x_2^{a_2}$, and $u_1 = x_1^{a_1}$ and $u_2 = -x_2^{b_2}$, with $a, b \in \mathbb{N}^3$.

In the next result we produce the desired element g using an algorithm employed by Bresinsky in [2] (there in the case where $A = k[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ is a polynomial ring over the field k). For this reason we will refer to this choice of g as g_B. This candidate for g will subsequently be contrasted in Example 3.2 and Remark 3.3 below with the candidate for g, denoted g_V, advanced by Valla in [19] (in our general setting). To examine this contrast in detail, we pay close attention to the form of g.

Theorem 3.1. *(cf.* [2], [19]*.) Let I be an HN ideal. Then there exists an algorithmically specified element g in the radical of I such that* $\operatorname{rad}(I) = \operatorname{rad}(v_1, g)$*. Moreover, if $x = x_1, x_2, x_3$ is a regular sequence and* $\operatorname{grade}(v) = 2$*, then this element g lies in I.*

Proof. We prove that there exists an element g in A of the form $g = (-1)^{c_1}x_3^r + h$, with $r \geq 1$ and $h \in (x_1, x_2)$, and such that $v_2^{c_1} - pv_1 = x_2^{a_1b_2}g$, for some $p \in A$.

From this last equation, it follows that $v_2 \in \operatorname{rad}(v_1, g)$ and $g \in (v) : u_2^{a_1} \subseteq I : u_2^{a_1}$. Since I is the ideal generated by the 2×2 minors of a 2×3 matrix, by [4, Theorem 3] any minimal prime of I is of height at most 2. In particular, x_2 is not in any minimal prime of I. Thus $u_2^{a_1}$ is regular modulo $\operatorname{rad}(I)$ and $g \in \operatorname{rad}(I)$. Moreover, if $x = x_1, x_2, x_3$ is a regular sequence and $\operatorname{grade}(v) = 2$, by Proposition 2.2, x_2 and hence $u_2^{a_1}$ is regular modulo I and $g \in I$ in this case. Then $D^r - (-1)^{c_1c_3}g^{c_3} \in \operatorname{rad}(I) \cap (x_1, x_2) \subseteq \operatorname{rad}(I \cap (u))$ which, by Remark 2.1, is equal to $\operatorname{rad}(v)$ and so included in $\operatorname{rad}(v_1, g)$. Thus $D \in \operatorname{rad}(v_1, g)$ and $\operatorname{rad}(I) = \operatorname{rad}(v_1, g)$, and the result is then proved.

Now construct the desired g (call it g_B) by following Bresinsky's argument in [2]. His idea is to take the binomial expansion of $v_2^{c_1} = (x_2^{c_2} - x_1^{a_1}x_3^{b_3})^{c_1}$ and, by subtracting a multiple of $v_1 = x_1^{c_1} - x_2^{b_2}x_3^{a_3}$, eliminate the higher order terms in $x_1^{a_1}x_3^{b_3}$. To assist the reader we include details that were omitted in Bresinsky's paper.

For $i = 0$, write $B_0 = v_2^{c_1}$, $p_0 = 0$ and $q_0 = 0$. For $i = 1, \ldots, a_1$, write

$$B_i = \sum_{j=i}^{c_1} (-1)^{c_1-j}\binom{c_1}{j} x_2^{jc_2} x_1^{a_1(c_1-j)} x_3^{b_3(c_1-j)},$$

$$p_i = \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} (-1)^{c_1-j}\binom{c_1}{j} x_2^{ja_2+(i-1)b_2} x_1^{(a_1-i+j)c_1-ja_1} x_3^{(i-1-j)a_3+b_3(c_1-j)},$$

$$q_i = p_i x_2^{b_2} x_3^{a_3} = \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} (-1)^{c_1-j} \binom{c_1}{j} x_2^{ja_2+ib_2} x_1^{(a_1-i+j)c_1-ja_1} x_3^{(i-j)a_3+b_3(c_1-j)}.$$

Note that B_i is just a part of the binomial expansion of $v_2^{c_1} = (x_2^{c_2} - x_1^{a_1} x_3^{b_3})^{c_1}$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
&B_i - B_{i+1} + q_i - p_{i+1} x_1^{c_1} = B_i - B_{i+1} + p_i x_2^{b_2} x_3^{a_3} - p_{i+1} x_1^{c_1} \\
&= (-1)^{c_1-i} \binom{c_1}{i} x_2^{ic_2} x_1^{a_1(c_1-i)} x_3^{b_3(c_1-i)} \\
&+ \left[\sum_{j=0}^{i-1} (-1)^{c_1-j} \binom{c_1}{j} x_2^{ja_2+(i-1)b_2} x_1^{(a_1-i+j)c_1-ja_1} x_3^{(i-1-j)a_3+b_3(c_1-j)} \right] x_2^{b_2} x_3^{a_3} \\
&- \left[\sum_{j=0}^{i} (-1)^{c_1-j} \binom{c_1}{j} x_2^{ja_2+ib_2} x_1^{(a_1-i-1+j)c_1-ja_1} x_3^{(i-j)a_3+b_3(c_1-j)} \right] x_1^{c_1} = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, for $i = 0, \ldots, a_1$, let us see that $v_2^{c_1} - \sum_{j=0}^{i} p_j v_1 = B_i + q_i$. Indeed, for $i = 0$, since $B_0 = v_2^{c_1}$ and $p_0 = 0$ and $q_0 = 0$, $v_2^{c_1} - p_0 v_1 = B_0 + q_0$. Suppose the equality holds for i, $0 < i < a_1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&v_2^{c_1} - \sum_{j=0}^{i+1} p_j v_1 = B_i + q_i - p_{i+1} v_1 = \\
&B_{i+1} + (B_i - B_{i+1} + q_i - p_{i+1} x_1^{c_1}) + p_{i+1} x_2^{b_2} x_3^{a_3} = B_{i+1} + q_{i+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

In particular, for $i = a_1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&v_2^{c_1} - \sum_{j=0}^{a_1} p_j v_1 = B_{a_1} + q_{a_1} = \\
&\sum_{j=a_1}^{c_1} (-1)^{c_1-j} \binom{c_1}{j} x_2^{jc_2} x_1^{a_1(c_1-j)} x_3^{b_3(c_1-j)} + \\
&\sum_{j=0}^{a_1-1} (-1)^{c_1-j} \binom{c_1}{j} x_2^{ja_2+a_1b_2} x_1^{j(c_1-a_1)} x_3^{(a_1-j)a_3+b_3(c_1-j)} = \\
&x_2^{a_1b_2} \left[\sum_{j=a_1}^{c_1} (-1)^{c_1-j} \binom{c_1}{j} x_2^{ja_2+(j-a_1)b_2} x_1^{a_1(c_1-j)} x_3^{b_3(c_1-j)} \right] + \\
&x_2^{a_1b_2} \left[\sum_{j=0}^{a_1-1} (-1)^{c_1-j} \binom{c_1}{j} x_2^{ja_2} x_1^{j(c_1-a_1)} x_3^{(a_1-j)a_3+b_3(c_1-j)} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, taking $p = \sum_{j=0}^{a_1} p_j v_1$ and g_B the element given as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_B = \quad & \sum_{j=a_1}^{c_1}(-1)^{c_1-j}\binom{c_1}{j}x_2^{ja_2+(j-a_1)b_2}x_1^{a_1(c_1-j)}x_3^{b_3(c_1-j)} \\
+ \quad & \sum_{j=0}^{a_1-1}(-1)^{c_1-j}\binom{c_1}{j}x_2^{ja_2}x_1^{j(c_1-a_1)}x_3^{(a_1-j)a_3+b_3(c_1-j)},
\end{aligned}
$$

one has $v_2^{c_1} - pv_1 = x_2^{a_1b_2}g_B$. Moreover, note that the term $j = 0$ of g_B gives $(-1)^{c_1}x_3^r$, with $r = a_1a_3 + b_3c_1$, and the rest of the terms of g_B are in (x_1, x_2). □

Example 3.2. In [19, Theorem 3], Valla constructed an element g_V in the radical of I such that $\operatorname{rad}(I) = \operatorname{rad}(v_1, g_V)$. In concrete terms, changing Valla's notation to ours,

$$
g_V = \sum_{j=0}^{c_1}(-1)^{c_1-j}\binom{c_1}{j}x_1^{s}x_2^{(c_1-j)c_2+tb_2-a_1b_2}x_3^{jb_3+ta_3},
$$

where for $0 \le j \le c_1$, $ja_1 = tc_1 + s$ with $0 \le s \le c_1 - 1$.

For instance, if we take $\mathcal{M}$ to be as follows:

$$
\mathcal{M} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1^2 & x_2 & x_3 \\ x_2^2 & x_3 & x_1^2 \end{pmatrix},
$$

which is the example considered by Bresinsky in [2], then the element g_B considered in the proof of Theorem 3.1 is as follows:

$$
g_B = \sum_{j=2}^{4}(-1)^{4-j}\binom{4}{j}x_2^{j+(j-2)2}x_1^{2(4-j)}x_3^{4-j} + \sum_{j=0}^{1}(-1)^{4-j}\binom{4}{j}x_2^{j}x_1^{j2}x_3^{(2-j)+(4-j)},
$$

so that,

$$
g_B = x_2^8 - 4x_2^5x_1^2x_3 + 6x_2^2x_1^4x_3^2 - 4x_2x_1^2x_3^4 + x_3^6.
$$

On the other hand,

$$
g_V = x_2^8 - 4x_2^5x_1^2x_3 + 6x_2^4x_3^3 - 4x_2x_1^2x_3^4 + x_3^6.
$$

Remark that $g_B - g_V = 6x_2^2x_3^2v_1$. This pattern is completely general, as we now show.

Remark 3.3. If v_1, x_2 is a regular sequence, then $g_B - (-1)^{c_1}g_V$ is in (v_1). In particular, if moreover $x = x_1, x_2, x_3$ is a regular sequence and $\operatorname{grade}(v) = 2$, then g_B and g_V are in I.

Proof. By the beginning of the proof of Valla [19, Theorem 3] - changing his notation to ours - we have:

$$
(-1)^{c_1}v_2^{c_1} = x_2^{a_1b_2}g_V \bmod (v_1).
$$

On the other hand, from Theorem 3.1, we have $v_2^{c_1} = x_2^{a_1b_2}g_B + pv_1$. Hence

$$
x_2^{a_1b_2}[g_B - (-1)^{c_1}g_V] = 0 \bmod (v_1). \tag{1}
$$

If x_2 is regular modulo (v_1), then $g_B - (-1)^{c_1} g_V \in (v_1)$. If moreover $x = x_1, x_2, x_3$ is a regular sequence and $\mathrm{grade}(v) = 2$, by Theorem 3.1, g_B can be taken in I, and so can g_V. ☐

Remark 3.4. Note that it is always the case that x_2 is regular modulo $\mathrm{rad}(I)$ for a general HN ideal I (see the proof of Theorem 3.1). Hence it follows from Theorem 3.1 and equation (1) above that $\mathrm{rad}(I) = \mathrm{rad}(v_1, g_V)$ for a general HN ideal I.

4. On the condition $\mathrm{grade}(v) = 2$

In this section, A will be a commutative Noetherian ring and $x = x_1, x_2, x_3$ a sequence of elements of A (not necessarily generating a proper ideal of height 3). We keep the notations of Section 1, i.e., $v_1 = x_1^{c_1} - x_2^{b_2} x_3^{a_3}$, $v_2 = x_2^{c_2} - x_1^{a_1} x_3^{b_3}$ and $D = x_3^{c_3} - x_1^{b_1} x_2^{a_2}$. The purpose of this section is to study the condition $\mathrm{grade}(v) = 2$ versus the condition that x_1, x_2 or x_1, x_2, x_3 forms a regular sequence. These results are of interest in view of Remark 3.3 and of results in subsequent sections.

In the latter half of the paper, we shall be particularly interested in the case of a polynomial ring. As will be seen in Example 4.3, this case can be placed in a graded context. For this reason and for ease of reference, we introduce the following notation. With the assumptions of this section, we say that (A, x) satisfies the *homogeneous condition* $(*)$ if A can be graded by $\mathbb{N}_0$, with x_1, x_2, x_3 and v_1, v_2, D homogeneous elements of positive degree.

The following result is folklore; see [3, Corollary 1.6.19] for the unexplained notations and a proof in the local case. For the graded case use [1, § 9.7, Corollaire 2] and [3, Theorem 1.6.17 (b)].

Theorem 4.1. *Let R be a commutative Noetherian ring. Let $y = y_1, \ldots, y_n$ be a sequence of elements of R. Let M be a finitely generated R-module. Suppose either that $y_1, \ldots, y_n$ are in the Jacobson radical of R, or that $R = \oplus_{n \geq 0} R_n$ is $\mathbb{N}_0$-graded, $y_1, \ldots, y_n$ are homogeneous elements of positive degree and $M = \oplus_{n \geq 0} M_n$ is graded over R. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) $\mathrm{grade}((y_1, \ldots, y_n); M) = n$;
(2) $H_i(y; M) = 0$ *for all* $i \geq 1$;
(3) $H_1(y; M) = 0$;
(4) $y = y_1, \ldots, y_n$ *is a regular sequence (in any order).*

We state now the desired result.

Proposition 4.2. *Let $x = x_1, x_2, x_3$ be a sequence of elements of A. Suppose either that x_1, x_2, x_3 are in the Jacobson radical of A, or that (A, x) satisfies the homogeneous condition $(*)$. Then*

(a) *x_1, x_2 is a regular sequence if and only if v_1, x_2 is a regular sequence;*
(b) *x_1, x_2, x_3 is a regular sequence if and only if v_1, v_2, x_3 is a regular sequence (so $\mathrm{grade}(v) = 2$ in either case);*

(*c*) *If moreover x_1, x_2, x_3 generate a proper ideal of height 3,* $\operatorname{grade}(v) = 2$ *and A satisfies the Serre condition (S_3), then x_1, x_2, x_3 is a regular sequence.*

Proof. We have $(v_1, x_2) = (x_1^{c_1}, x_2)$ and $(v_1, v_2, x_3) = (x_1^{c_1}, x_2^{c_2}, x_3)$. Thus $\operatorname{grade}(v_1, x_2) = \operatorname{grade}(x_1^{c_1}, x_2)$ and $\operatorname{grade}(v_1, v_2, x_3) = \operatorname{grade}(x_1^{c_1}, x_2^{c_2}, x_3)$. By Theorem 4.1, v_1, x_2 is a regular sequence if and only if $\operatorname{grade}(v_1, x_2) = 2$, and so, by Theorem 4.1 again, if and only if $x_1^{c_1}, x_2$ is a regular sequence. Analogously, v_1, v_2, x_3 is a regular sequence if and only if $x_1^{c_1}, x_2^{c_2}, x_3$ is a regular sequence. Using [12, Exercise 3.1.12 (*c*)], one deduces (*a*) and (*b*).

Finally, if $\operatorname{grade}(v) = 2$, since $(v) \subseteq (x_1, x_2)$, $\operatorname{grade}(x_1, x_2) = 2$, by [12, Theorem 125]. By Theorem 4.1, x_1, x_2 is a regular sequence, and by [12, Theorem 130], the ideal (x_1, x_2) is grade-unmixed. Thus, for any associated prime $\mathfrak{p}$ of (x_1, x_2), $\operatorname{depth}(A_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \operatorname{grade}(\mathfrak{p}) = 2$ and $\operatorname{height}(\mathfrak{p}) \geq 2$. Since A satisfies the Serre condition (S_3), $2 = \operatorname{depth}(A_{\mathfrak{p}}) \geq \inf(3, \operatorname{height}(\mathfrak{p}))$. Hence $\mathfrak{p}$ has height 2, so $x_3 \notin \mathfrak{p}$ since (x_1, x_2, x_3) has height 3, by assumption. Thus x_1, x_2, x_3 is a regular sequence. □

Example 4.3. Let $A = k[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ be the polynomial ring with x_1, x_2, x_3 three variables over a field k. Set $m_1 = c_2c_3 - a_2b_3$, $m_2 = c_1c_3 - a_3b_1$ and $m_3 = c_1c_2 - a_1b_2$. Endow A with the natural grading induced by giving x_i weight m_i. Then A is graded by $\mathbb{N}_0$ and x_1, x_2, x_3 and v_1, v_2, D are homogeneous elements of positive degree, i.e., (A, x) satisfies the homogeneous condition $(*)$. In particular, v_1, v_2 is a regular sequence in either order.

Proof. Clearly $m_i > 0$, so x_i is a homogeneous element of positive degree. On the other hand, v_1, v_2, D are homogeneous provided that (m_1, m_2, m_3) satisfies the following system of equations:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rcl} c_1m_1 & = & b_2m_2 + a_3m_3, \\ c_2m_2 & = & a_1m_1 + b_3m_3, \\ c_3m_3 & = & b_1m_1 + a_2m_2. \end{array} \right. \tag{2}$$

It is easily checked that this is indeed the case. Hence v_1, v_2, D are homogeneous elements of positive degree and so (A, x) satisfies the homogeneous condition $(*)$. Applying Proposition 4.2, we deduce that v_1, v_2 is a regular sequence, and in either order because of Theorem 4.1. □

Remark 4.4. In fact, note that in the system of equations (2), each one of the three equations can be obtained from the other two via addition. Moreover, since $c_1 > a_1$ and $c_2 > b_2$, the system can be reduced to the $\mathbb{Q}$-linear system of rank 2 formed by the two first equations:

$$\begin{pmatrix} c_1 & -b_2 & -a_3 \\ -a_1 & c_2 & -b_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} m_1 \\ m_2 \\ m_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By Cramer's rule, the $\mathbb{Q}$-linear subspace of solutions is generated by the non-zero vector

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(\begin{vmatrix} a_3 & -b_2 \\ b_3 & c_2 \end{vmatrix}, \begin{vmatrix} c_1 & a_3 \\ -a_1 & b_3 \end{vmatrix}, \begin{vmatrix} c_1 & -b_2 \\ -a_1 & c_2 \end{vmatrix}\right) = \\ &(a_2a_3 + a_3b_2 + b_2b_3, a_1a_3 + a_1b_3 + b_1b_3, a_1a_2 + a_2b_1 + b_1b_2) = \\ &(c_2c_3 - a_2b_3, c_1c_3 - a_3b_1, c_1c_2 - a_1b_2) = (m_1, m_2, m_3).\end{aligned}$$

Thus $(m_1, m_2, m_3) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ is a solution of system (2) which is unique up to a non-zero rational multiple.

One can have a Cohen-Macaulay domain A and a sequence of elements $x = x_1, x_2, x_3$ of A generating a proper ideal of height, and hence grade, 3, though with grade(v_1, v_2) equal to 1. Necessarily, by Proposition 4.2, $x = x_1, x_2, x_3$ are not in the Jacobson radical and (A, x) does not satisfy the homogeneous condition $(*)$.

Example 4.5. (see [12, Exercise 7, p. 102]) Let $A = k[y_1, y_2, y_3]$ be the polynomial ring with y_1, y_2, y_3 three variables over a field k. Then the elements $x_3 = y_1$, $x_1 = y_2(1 - y_1)$ and $x_2 = y_3(1 - y_1)$ form a regular sequence in this order, but in the order x_1, x_2, x_3 they do not; moreover grade$(x_1, x_2) = $ grade$((x_1, x_2); A/(x_1)) + 1 = 1$. Thus x_1, x_2, x_3 generate a proper ideal of height (and grade) 3, but grade$(v_1, v_2) = 1$.

5. HN IDEALS ARE GEOMETRICALLY LINKED TO COMPLETE INTERSECTIONS

In this section, A will be a commutative Noetherian ring and $x = x_1, x_2, x_3$ a regular sequence. Moreover, we will suppose that the ideal (v) has grade 2 (see e.g. Proposition 4.2). In spite of (v) having grade 2, it may happen that v_1, v_2 is not a regular sequence. However one can ensure that there does exist an element $w \in A$ such that $v_1 + wv_2, v_2$ is a regular sequence (see e.g. [12, Theorem 125] and its proof). We keep the rest of the notations as in Section 1.

Lemma 5.1. *Let I be an HN ideal. Suppose that $x = x_1, x_2, x_3$ is a regular sequence and that* grade$(v) = 2$. *Then $I = (v) : u_1 = (v) : u_2 = (v) : (u)$.*

Proof. By Corollary 2.3, $I \cap (u) = (v)$ and, by Proposition 2.2, x_1 is regular modulo I. Then

$$(v) : u_1 = [I \cap (u)] : u_1 = (I : u_1) \cap [(u) : u_1] = I : u_1 = I.$$

Analogously $I = (v) : u_2$. That $I = (v) : (u)$ follows immediately from this, since $(v) : (u) = ((v) : u_1) \cap ((v) : u_2)$. □

In particular, (v) is a radical ideal if and only if I and (u) are radical ideals. Indeed, by Remark 2.1, $\operatorname{rad}(v) = \operatorname{rad}(I) \cap \operatorname{rad}(u)$ and so (v) is radical if I and (u) are radical. Conversely, if (v) is radical, then $\operatorname{rad}(I) = \operatorname{rad}((v) : u_1) \subseteq \operatorname{rad}(v) : u_1 = (v) : u_1 = I$ and $\operatorname{rad}(u) = \operatorname{rad}((v) : D) \subseteq \operatorname{rad}(v) : D = (v) : D = (u)$, by Proposition 2.2. In particular, if $a_1 > 1$ or $b_2 > 1$, then (u), and hence (v), is not radical.

Proposition 5.2. *Let I be an HN ideal. Suppose that $x = x_1, x_2, x_3$ is a regular sequence and that* $\operatorname{grade}(v) = 2$. *Then I is geometrically linked to (u), i.e., $(u) = (v) : I$, $I = (v) : (u)$ and $I \cap (u) = (v)$.*

Proof. By [12, Theorem 125], (v) can be generated by a regular sequence clearly contained in $I \cap (u)$. Moreover, that $(u) = (v) : I$, $I = (v) : (u)$ and $I \cap (u) = (v)$ follow from Proposition 2.2, Lemma 5.1 and Corollary 2.3, respectively. □

In [20, page 326 and ff.], Vasconcelos gives a proof that $I = (v) : (u)$, but it would seem that there is a hidden Gorenstein hypothesis in his Corollary 4.1.1 (see the appeal to Corollary A.9.1 in its proof; see also [18, Proposition 2.4], where the local Gorenstein hypothesis is used again).

In particular, one has $\operatorname{Ass}(A/I) \cup \operatorname{Ass}(A/(u)) = \operatorname{Ass}(A/(v))$ (see e.g. [18, Remark 2.2]).

6. HN IDEALS ARE ALMOST COMPLETE INTERSECTIONS

In this section, A will again be a commutative Noetherian ring and $x = x_1, x_2, x_3$ a regular sequence. Moreover, as before, we will suppose that the ideal (v) has grade 2 (see Proposition 4.2).

Lemma 6.1. *Let I be an HN ideal. Suppose that $x = x_1, x_2, x_3$ is a regular sequence and that* $\operatorname{grade}(v) = 2$. *Then I is minimally generated by three elements.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.2, none of x_1, x_2 or x_3 is in any minimal prime of I. Localise at a minimal prime of (x_1, x_2, x_3) without changing notations. So we suppose that A is local. If I has a minimal generating set of less than three elements, then at least one element of the generating set v_1, v_2, D is redundant, D say. In this case, $I = (v_1, v_2) \subseteq (x_1, x_2)$. By the Generalized Principal Ideal Theorem ([12, Theorem 152]), there exists a minimal prime $\mathfrak{q}$ of (x_1, x_2) of height 2. But then $\mathfrak{q}$ would be a minimal prime over I containing (x_1, x_2, x_3), a contradiction (and similarly for the possible variations on this argument).

Alternatively, localise at a minimal prime containing (x_1, x_2, x_3) without changing notations. The resolving complex constructed in [14, Section 2 and Theorem 2] in the case of our specific Φ, u and v yields the following free resolution of I:

$$0 \to A^2 \overset{[\varphi]}{\to} A^3 \overset{[\psi]}{\to} A \to A/(v, D) \to 0,$$

where

$$[\varphi] = \begin{pmatrix} -x_1^{a_1} & x_2^{b_2} \\ x_2^{a_2} & -x_3^{b_3} \\ x_3^{a_3} & -x_1^{b_1} \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } [\psi] = \begin{pmatrix} -D & -v_1 & v_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since all the entries in the matrix maps are in the maximal ideal, this Hilbert-Burch presentation of I is minimal. □

Remark 6.2. Actually, by Valla's argument at the top of page 10 in [19], Lemma 6.1 holds for an arbitrary HN ideal. Note also that the second proof presented above requires only that $\mathrm{grade}(v) = 2$. Remark too that from this resolution, $[\psi]\cdot[\varphi] = 0$ and so $Dx_1^{a_1} - v_1x_2^{a_2} + v_2x_3^{a_3} = 0$. Therefore $Dx_1^{a_1} \in (v)$ and $IA_{x_1} = (v)A_{x_1}$. (This can also be deduced from the equality $I = (v) : (u)$.)

Proposition 6.3. *Let I be an HN ideal. Suppose that $x = x_1, x_2, x_3$ is a regular sequence and that* $\mathrm{grade}(v) = 2$*. Then I is an almost complete intersection (in the sense of* [8]*).*

Proof. On the one hand, I is minimally generated by 3 elements and has height 2. To see this, note that I contains (v) so has grade at least 2. On the other hand, since $\mathrm{rad}(I) = \mathrm{rad}(v_1, g)$, any minimal prime of I is a minimal prime of (v_1, g), which by the Generalized Principal Ideal Theorem will be of height at most 2. Finally, $IA_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is locally a complete intersection at primes $\mathfrak{p}$ minimal over I, because such a prime $\mathfrak{p}$ fails to contain x_1, so $IA_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong (IA_{x_1})A_{\mathfrak{p}_{x_1}}$. But $IA_{x_1} = (v)A_{x_1}$ and $IA_{\mathfrak{p}} = (v)A_{\mathfrak{p}}$. ☐

Remark 6.4. Let I be an HN ideal. Suppose that $x = x_1, x_2, x_3$ is a regular sequence and that $\mathrm{grade}(v) = 2$. Then I is generated by a d-sequence, I is of linear type and of strong linear type.

Proof. Indeed, since $(v) : D = (u)$ and $I \cap (u) = (v)$, then $((v) : D) \cap I = (u) \cap I = (v)$. Therefore $(v) : D^2 = (v) : D$. In particular, for some $w \in A$, $v_1 + wv_2, v_2, D$ is a d-sequence which generates I (cf. [11] for a general discussion of d-sequences, and [loc. cit., Example 4] in particular for the result at issue here). Hence I is an ideal of linear type, i.e., the canonical graded homomorphism $\alpha : \mathbf{S}(I) \to \mathbf{R}(I)$ between the symmetric algebra of I and the Rees algebra of I is an isomorphism (see e.g. [8]). In fact, one has a little more, namely I is of strong linear type, i.e., $H_2(A, B, \mathbf{G}(I)) = 0$, where $H_2(A, B, \mathbf{G}(I))$ stands for the second André-Quillen homology group of the A-algebra $B = A/I$ with coefficients in the B-module $\mathbf{G}(I)$, the associated graded ring of I. It is well-known that $\ker(\alpha_2) \cong H_2(A, B, B)$. Moreover, if $A \supset \mathbb{Q}$ and $H_2(A, B, \mathbf{G}(I)) = 0$, then I is of linear type. Since B as an A-module has projective dimension 2 and I is of linear type, then the converse also holds and one has $H_2(A, B, \mathbf{G}(I)) = 0$ (see [16, Theorem 3.4 and Proposition 3.10]). ☐

7. When are HN ideals prime?

From now on until the end of the paper, $A = k[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ is the polynomial ring with $x = x_1, x_2, x_3$ three variables over a field k. In particular, v_1, v_2 is a regular sequence in any order, by Example 4.3. Denote by $\mathfrak{m}$ the maximal ideal of A generated by x_1, x_2, x_3.

We begin with the following definition which will play a key role. The idea behind it lies in the subsequent remark and in the proofs of Example 4.3 and Lemma 7.7.

Definition 7.1. Let J be an ideal of A, $J \subset \mathfrak{m}$, such that $x_iA + J$ is $\mathfrak{m}$-primary for all $i = 1, 2, 3$. The *integer vector associated to J*, $m(J) = (m_1(J), m_2(J), m_3(J)) \in \mathbb{N}^3$, is defined as $m_i(J) = \mathrm{length}(A/(x_iA + J))$, for each $i = 1, 2, 3$.

Remark 7.2. Let $I = (x_1^{c_1} - x_2^{b_2}x_3^{a_3}, x_2^{c_2} - x_1^{a_1}x_3^{b_3}, x_3^{c_3} - x_1^{b_1}x_2^{a_2})$ be an HN ideal. Then, for each $i = 1, 2, 3$, $x_iA + I$ is $\mathfrak{m}$-primary and $m_i(I) = e(x_i \cdot A_\mathfrak{m}/I_\mathfrak{m})$. Moreover $m(I)$ can be directly obtained as $m(I) = (c_2c_3 - a_2b_3, c_1c_3 - a_3b_1, c_1c_2 - a_1b_2)$. In particular, $m(I) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ generates the $\mathbb{Q}$-linear subspace of solutions of system (2) in Example 4.3 (see Remark 4.4), and so $m_i(I)$ is the weight given to x_i, $i = 1, 2, 3$, in Example 4.3.

Proof. We have $x_1A + I = (x_1, x_2^{c_2}, x_2^{b_2}x_3^{a_3}, x_3^{c_3})$, which is $\mathfrak{m}$-primary. Thus $m_1(I)$ is finite and can be calculated as $\text{length}(A_\mathfrak{m}/(x_1A + I)_\mathfrak{m})$. In particular, $x_1 \cdot A_\mathfrak{m}/I_\mathfrak{m}$ is a parameter ideal of the Cohen-Macaulay local ring $A_\mathfrak{m}/I_\mathfrak{m}$ (recall that I is height-unmixed; see Proposition 2.2). By [17, Proposition 11.1.10], $\text{length}(A_\mathfrak{m}/(x_1A + I)_\mathfrak{m}) = e(x_1 \cdot A_\mathfrak{m}/I_\mathfrak{m})$. On the other hand, the quotient ring $A/(x_1A + I)$ is isomorphic to $k[x_2, x_3]/(x_2^{c_2}, x_2^{b_2}x_3^{a_3}, x_3^{c_3})$ which has length $(a_2 + b_2)(a_3 + b_3) - a_2b_3$. There are analogous arguments for $m_2(I)$ and $m_3(I)$. □

Now, let us extend the definition of Herzog ideals introduced in the first section.

Definition 7.3. Let $n = (n_1, n_2, n_3) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ be an integer vector with greatest common divisor not necessarily equal to 1. The *Herzog ideal associated to* n is the prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}_n$ defined as the kernel of the morphism $\varphi_n : A \to k[t]$ sending x_i to t^{n_i} for each $i = 1, 2, 3$.

We then have the following:

Remark 7.4. Let $m = (m_1, m_2, m_3) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ and $n = (n_1, n_2, n_3) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ such that $m = dn$ for some $d \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\mathfrak{p}_m = \mathfrak{p}_n$.

Proof. Since $A/\mathfrak{p}_n \cong k[t^{n_1}, t^{n_2}, t^{n_3}] \subset k[t]$ is an integral extension, $\dim(A/\mathfrak{p}_n) = 1$ and $\mathfrak{p}_n$ is a prime ideal of height 2. Analogously, $\mathfrak{p}_m$ is a prime ideal of height 2. If $\gcd(n_1, n_2, n_3) = 1$, using an explicit system of generators of $\mathfrak{p}_n$ (given by Herzog in [9]; see also [13, pages 138-139]), one can easily check that $\mathfrak{p}_n \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_m$, and so they are equal. In general, factoring out the greatest common divisor $e = \gcd(n_1, n_2, n_3)$, let $r = n/e = (r_1, r_2, r_3)$, where $\gcd(r_1, r_2.r_3) = 1$. Then $n = er$ and $m = (de)r$, thus $\mathfrak{p}_m = \mathfrak{p}_n = \mathfrak{p}_r$. □

Lemma 7.5. *Let* $\mathfrak{p}_n$ *be the Herzog ideal associated to* $n = (n_1, n_2, n_3) \in \mathbb{N}^3$*. Then, for all* $i = 1, 2, 3$*,* $x_iA + \mathfrak{p}_n$ *is* $\mathfrak{m}$*-primary and* $m_i(\mathfrak{p}_n) = e(x_i \cdot A_\mathfrak{m}/(\mathfrak{p}_n)_\mathfrak{m})$*. Moreover* $m(\mathfrak{p}_n) = n/\gcd(n)$*.*

Proof. By the preceding remark we clearly can suppose that $\gcd(n_1, n_2, n_3) = 1$. Since $x_2^{n_1} = x_1^{n_2} + (x_2^{n_1} - x_1^{n_2}) \in x_1A + \mathfrak{p}_n$, and analogously for x_3, $x_1A + \mathfrak{p}_n$ is $\mathfrak{m}$-primary. Thus $m_1(\mathfrak{p}_n)$ is finite and can be calculated as $\text{length}(A_\mathfrak{m}/(x_1A+\mathfrak{p}_n)_\mathfrak{m})$. In particular, $x_1 \cdot A_\mathfrak{m}/(\mathfrak{p}_n)_\mathfrak{m}$ is an $\mathfrak{m}A_\mathfrak{m}/(\mathfrak{p}_n)_\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal of the Cohen-Macaulay one-dimensional domain $A_\mathfrak{m}/(\mathfrak{p}_n)_\mathfrak{m}$. By [17, Proposition 11.1.10], $\text{length}(A_\mathfrak{m}/(x_1A+\mathfrak{p}_n)_\mathfrak{m}) = e(x_1 \cdot A_\mathfrak{m}/(\mathfrak{p}_n)_\mathfrak{m})$. On the other hand, the quotient ring $A/(x_1A + \mathfrak{p}_n)$ is isomorphic to $R/t^{n_1}R$, where $R = \text{Im}(\varphi) = k[t^{n_1}, t^{n_2}, t^{n_3}]$, so $\text{length}(A/(x_1A + \mathfrak{p}_n)) = \text{length}(R/t^{n_1}R)$. To calculate the latter one can localise at the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{n} = (t^{n_1}, t^{n_2}, t^{n_3})$ since $t^{n_1}R$ is $\mathfrak{n}$-primary, as $(t^{n_j})^{n_1} \in t^{n_1}R$, $j = 2, 3$. Since $t^{n_1}R_\mathfrak{n}$ is a parameter ideal of a Cohen-Macaulay local ring, $\text{length}(R_\mathfrak{n}/t^{n_1}R_\mathfrak{n}) = e(t^{n_1}R_\mathfrak{n})$ (again by [17, Proposition 11.1.10]).

Because $1 = s_1n_1 + s_2n_2 + s_3n_3$ for some $s_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $R \subset k[t]$ is a birational integral extension. Set $S = R \setminus \mathfrak{n}$. Since $tk[t]$ is the only nonzero prime $\mathfrak{q}$ of $k[t]$ such that $\mathfrak{q} \cap S = \emptyset$, the saturation of S in $k[t]$ is $k[t] \setminus tk[t]$, so $R_{\mathfrak{n}} \subset k[t]_{(t)}$ is a birational finite extension. Then $e(t^{n_1}R_{\mathfrak{n}}) = e(t^{n_1}k[t]_{(t)})$ (see [17, Corollary 11.2.6]). By [17, Proposition 11.1.10] again, the latter is equal to $\mathrm{length}(k[t]_{(t)}/t^{n_1}k[t]_{(t)}) = n_1$. ☐

In particular, one has a kind of converse of Remark 7.4.

Corollary 7.6. *Let $m = (m_1, m_2, m_3) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ and $n = (n_1, n_2, n_3) \in \mathbb{N}^3$. Then $\mathfrak{p}_m = \mathfrak{p}_n$ if and only if m and n are linearly dependent over the field $\mathbb{Q}$.*

Proof. If $\mathfrak{p}_m = \mathfrak{p}_n$, then $m(\mathfrak{p}_m) = m(\mathfrak{p}_n)$ and, by Lemma 7.5, $\gcd(n) \cdot m = \gcd(m) \cdot n$. Conversely, if m and n are linearly dependent over the field $\mathbb{Q}$, then $rm = sn$ for some $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$. By Remark 7.4, $\mathfrak{p}_m = \mathfrak{p}_n$. ☐

Now, given an HN ideal I, we want to look for the "nearest" Herzog ideal to I.

Lemma 7.7. *Let I be an HN ideal and $m(I) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ its associated integer vector. Then $\mathfrak{p}_{m(I)}$ is the unique Herzog ideal containing I. In particular, $\mathfrak{p}_{m(I)}$ is a minimal prime of I.*

Proof. Given any $m = (m_1, m_2, m_3) \in \mathbb{N}^3$, $I = (x_1^{c_1} - x_2^{b_2}x_3^{a_3}, x_2^{c_2} - x_1^{a_1}x_3^{b_3}, x_3^{c_3} - x_1^{b_1}x_2^{a_2}) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_m$ if and only if m satisfies system (2) of Example 4.3, whose $\mathbb{Q}$-linear subspace of solutions is generated by $m(I) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ (see Example 4.3 and Remark 7.2). Thus $I \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_{m(I)}$. Since both ideals have height 2, $\mathfrak{p}_{m(I)}$ is a minimal prime of I.

Suppose now that $I \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_m$ and $I \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_n$, where $m, n \in \mathbb{N}^3$. By Remark 7.4 we can suppose that $\gcd(m) = 1$ and $\gcd(n) = 1$. In particular, since $I \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_m, \mathfrak{p}_n$, then m and n are solutions of system (2) in Example 4.3. So, there exist $p, q \in \mathbb{Q}$, $p, q > 0$, such that $m = pm(I)$ and $n = qm(I)$, i.e., $rm = sn$ for some $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$ (cf. Remark 4.4). Taking the greatest common divisor, $r = s$, $m = n$ and $\mathfrak{p}_m = \mathfrak{p}_n$. ☐

The next result characterizes when an HN ideal is a prime ideal.

Theorem 7.8. *Let I be an HN ideal and $m(I) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ its associated integer vector. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(*i*) *I is prime;*
(*ii*) *$I = \mathfrak{p}_{m(I)}$;*
(*iii*) $\gcd(m(I)) = 1$.

Proof. By Lemma 7.7, $\mathfrak{p}_{m(I)}$ is a minimal prime of I. Thus I is prime if and only if $I = \mathfrak{p}_{m(I)}$. Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \to L \to A/I \to A/\mathfrak{p}_{m(I)} \to 0,$$

where $L = \mathfrak{p}_{m(I)}/I$. Tensoring it with A/x_1A and using that $x_1 \notin \mathfrak{p}_{m(I)}$, one obtains

$$0 \to L/x_1L \to A/(x_1A + I) \to A/(x_1A + \mathfrak{p}_{m(I)}) \to 0.$$

Endow A with the natural grading induced by giving x_i weight $m_i(I)$, $i = 1, 2, 3$; see Remark 7.2. Then I and $\mathfrak{p}_{m(I)}$ are homogeneous ideals in this grading. By the graded variant of Nakayama's lemma, $L = 0$ if and only if $L = x_1 L$. Therefore, $I = \mathfrak{p}_{m(I)}$ if and only if the Artinian rings $A/(x_1 A + I)$ and $A/(x_1 A + \mathfrak{p}_{m(I)})$ have the same length. But, by Lemma 7.5, the length of $A/(x_1 A + \mathfrak{p}_{m(I)})$ is equal to $m_1(\mathfrak{p}_{m(I)}) = m_1(I)/\gcd(m(I))$, where we recall that $m_1(I)$ is by definition the length of $A/(x_1 A + I)$. So the result follows. $\square$

Example 7.9. Let I_r be the HN ideal associated to

$$\mathcal{M}_r = \begin{pmatrix} x_1^{ra_1} & x_2^{ra_2} & x_3^{ra_3} \\ x_2^{rb_2} & x_3^{rb_3} & x_1^{rb_1} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $a, b \in \mathbb{N}^3$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $m(I_r) = r^2 m(I_1)$. In particular, I_r is not prime for all $r > 1$. In fact, $I_r \subseteq I_1$, since $x_1^{rc_1} - x_2^{rb_2} x_3^{ra_3} = (x_1^{c_1} - x_2^{b_2} x_3^{a_3})(\sum_{i=1}^{r} x_1^{(r-i)c_1} x_2^{(i-1)b_2} x_3^{(i-1)a_3})$. Note that the I_r are all distinct and all have the same associated Herzog ideal.

Remark 7.10. One has the maps $m : \{\text{HN ideals}\} \to \mathbb{N}^3$ and $\mathfrak{p}_\bullet : \mathbb{N}^3 \to \{\text{Herzog ideals}\}$ defined by $I \mapsto m(I)$ and $m \mapsto \mathfrak{p}_m$.

The map m is not injective. For example, as regards the HN ideal given by the triples $(a_1, a_2, a_3) = (1, 1, 3)$, $(b_1, b_2, b_3) = (3, 2, 3)$, we get $m = (15, 15, 10)$, which we also get whenever $(a_1, a_2, a_3) = (2, 3, 3)$, $(b_1, b_2, b_3) = (1, 1, 3)$. The second ideal contains a binomial with a pure term in x_1^3, whereas the first ideal does not. And indeed m is not surjective even if we restrict the range to triples of positive integers each of which is at least 3; for example $(3, 4, 4)$ is not in the image of m, since if it were, $a_2 = a_3 = b_2 = b_3 = 1$, and a contradiction would follow easily. The map $\mathfrak{p}_\bullet$ is not injective by Remark 7.4 and is surjective by definition.

The composition $\mathfrak{p}_\bullet \circ m$, which assigns to each HN ideal its associated Herzog ideal, is clearly not injective (because m is not injective; see also Example 7.9).

Is $\mathfrak{p}_\bullet \circ m$ surjective? What is the image of m?

Remark 7.11. As regards a description of $\operatorname{Im}(\mathfrak{p}_\bullet \circ m)$ and $\operatorname{Im}(m)$, at the moment we have only partial results giving necessary conditions for triples of positive integers to belong to these image sets. These results have elementary but somewhat lengthy and technical proofs. So for the moment we confine ourselves to the following observations.

(1) Whenever $n = (n_1, n_2, n_3) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ has $\gcd(n) = 1$, then $n \in \operatorname{Im}(m)$ if and only if the subsemigroup H of $(\mathbb{N}, +)$ generated by n_1, n_2, n_3 is not symmetric. Indeed, suppose that there exists an HN ideal I with $m(I) = n$. By Theorem 7.8, I is prime and equal to $\mathfrak{p}_n$. Thus $\mathfrak{p}_n$ is an HN ideal and so is not a complete intersection. By [9] (see also [13, p. 139]), H is not symmetric. Conversely, if H is not symmetric, $\mathfrak{p}_n$ is not a complete intersection. Thus $\mathfrak{p}_n$ is an HN ideal and, by Remark 7.5, $m(\mathfrak{p}_n) = n$, so $n \in \operatorname{Im}(m)$.

(2) If (m_1, m_2, m_3) is in $\operatorname{Im}(m)$ and m_1 and m_2 are bounded above by r, say, then it is easy to see that m_3 is bounded above by $3r^2 - 2$. On the other hand, one can show that if $n = (n_1, n_2, n_3) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ is such that $\gcd(n_2, n_3) = 1$, so that in particular $\gcd(n_1, n_2, n_3) = 1$, and that n_1 is contained in $\mathbb{N}n_2 + \mathbb{N}n_3$, then $\mathfrak{p}_n$ lies in $\operatorname{Im}(\mathfrak{p}_\bullet \circ m)$.

8. On the number of primary components of an HN ideal

We keep the notations of the former section, i.e., $A = k[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ is the polynomial ring in three variables $x = x_1, x_2, x_3$ over a field k and $\mathfrak{m}$ is the maximal ideal of A generated by x_1, x_2, x_3. The purpose of this section is to give bounds for the number of associated (i.e., minimal) primes of an HN ideal of A. We begin with the following observation.

Remark 8.1. Let I be an HN ideal and $m(I)$ its associated integer vector. Then, for each $i = 1, 2, 3$,

$$m_i(I) = \sum_{\mathfrak{q} \in \operatorname{Min}(A/I)} e(x_i \cdot A_{\mathfrak{m}}/\mathfrak{q}_{\mathfrak{m}}) \operatorname{length}((A/I)_{\mathfrak{q}}).$$

In particular, $\operatorname{cardinal} \operatorname{Min}(A/I) \leq \min\{m_1(I), m_2(I), m_3(I)\}$.

Proof. By Remark 7.2, $m_1(I) = e(x_1 \cdot A_{\mathfrak{m}}/I_{\mathfrak{m}})$ and, by the Associativity Formula (see [17, Theorem 11.2.4]), this can be calculated as

$$e(x_1 \cdot A_{\mathfrak{m}}/I_{\mathfrak{m}}) = \sum_{\mathfrak{a} \in \operatorname{Min}(A_{\mathfrak{m}}/I_{\mathfrak{m}})} e(x_1 \cdot A_{\mathfrak{m}}/I_{\mathfrak{m}}; A_{\mathfrak{m}}/\mathfrak{a}) \operatorname{length}((A_{\mathfrak{m}}/I_{\mathfrak{m}})_{\mathfrak{a}}).$$

Endowing A with the grading obtained by giving x_i weight $m_i(I)$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, I is then homogeneous in this grading and hence any associated prime $\mathfrak{q}$ of I sits inside $\mathfrak{m}$. Thus any $\mathfrak{a}$ in $\operatorname{Min}(A_{\mathfrak{m}}/I_{\mathfrak{m}})$ is of the form $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{q}A_{\mathfrak{m}}$ with $\mathfrak{q}$ in $\operatorname{Min}(A/I)$ and vice versa. Hence the equality follows. In particular, the sum has as many non-zero terms as I has minimal primes. $\square$

To improve on this observation, we need the following lemma which was inspired by, and in turn generalizes, [6, Lemma 10.15].

Lemma 8.2. *Let* $m_2, m_3 \in \mathbb{N}$ *with* $\gcd(m_2, m_3) = e$. *Let* $m_2 = ep_2$ *and* $m_3 = ep_3$ *with* $\gcd(p_2, p_3) = 1$. *Let* f *be a factor of* $x_2^{m_3} - x_3^{m_2}$ *which is not a unit. Then* f *is of the form*

$$a_{rp_3}x_2^{rp_3} + a_{(r-1)p_3}x_2^{(r-1)p_3}x_3^{p_2} + \ldots + a_{p_3}x_2^{p_3}x_3^{(r-1)p_2} + a_0x_3^{rp_2},$$

with $r \in \mathbb{N}$ *and* $a_i \in k$, $a_{rp_3}, a_0 \neq 0$.

Proof. Set the weight of x_i equal to p_i, $i = 2, 3$, so that $x_2^{m_3} - x_3^{m_2}$ is homogeneous of degree ep_2p_3. Suppose that f is a factor of $x_2^{m_3} - x_3^{m_2} \in k[x_2, x_3]$ which is not a unit. Then f is homogeneous of degree p, say, where $p > 0$. Write

$$f = a_t x_2^t + a_{t-1}x_2^{t-1}x_3^{l_{t-1}} + \ldots + a_0 x_3^{l_0},$$

$a_t, a_0 \neq 0$, with typical term $a_i x_2^i x_3^{l_i}$, for $0 \leq i \leq t$. So $ip_2 + l_ip_3 = p = tp_2$. Because $\gcd(p_2, p_3) = 1$, p_2 divides l_i and p_3 divides $t - i$. Since $tp_2 = p < p + q = (e-1)p_2p_3$, then $0 \leq i \leq t < (e-1)p_3$. So $0 \leq t - i < (e-1)p_3$. Thus $t - i = jp_3$, and hence $i = t - jp_3$, with $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, $0 \leq j \leq e - 2$. Therefore

$$f = a_t x_2^t + a_{t-p_3}x_2^{t-p_3}x_3^{l_{t-p_3}} + a_{t-2p_3}x_2^{t-2p_3}x_3^{l_{t-2p_3}} + \ldots + a_{t-(e-2)p_3}x_2^{t-(e-2)p_3}x_3^{l_{t-(e-2)p_3}}.$$

Since f has a pure term in x_3, then $t = rp_3$ for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq r \leq e-2$, and

$$f = a_{rp_3}x_2^{rp_3} + a_{(r-1)p_3}x_2^{(r-1)p_3}x_3^{l_{(r-1)p_3}} + \ldots + a_{p_3}x_2^{p_3}x_3^{l_{p_3}} + a_0x_3^{l_0}.$$

For $0 \leq j \leq r$, the term with coefficient $a_{(r-j)p_3}$ has degree $(r-j)p_3p_2 + l_{(r-j)p_3}p_3$, which must be equal to rp_2p_3, the degree of f. Thus $l_{(r-j)p_3} = jp_2$. Therefore

$$f = a_{rp_3}x_2^{rp_3} + a_{(r-1)p_3}x_2^{(r-1)p_3}x_3^{p_2} + \ldots + a_{p_3}x_2^{p_3}x_3^{(r-1)p_2} + a_0x_3^{rp_2},$$

as desired. □

Theorem 8.3. *Let I be an HN ideal and $m(I)$ its associated integer vector. Let $\mathfrak{q} \in \operatorname{Min}(A/I)$. Then, for all $i, j \in \{1,2,3\}$, $i \neq j$,*

$$e(x_i; A_{\mathfrak{m}}/\mathfrak{q}_{\mathfrak{m}}) \geq m_i(I)/\gcd(m_i(I), m_j(I)).$$

In particular, setting $d = \gcd(m(I))$ and $s = \min\{\gcd(m_i(I), m_j(I)) \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq 3\}$,

$$\operatorname{cardinal}\operatorname{Min}(A/I) \leq 1 + (s \cdot (d-1)/d).$$

Proof. Take $\mathfrak{q}$ a minimal prime of I in A, and set $B = k[x_2, x_3]$ and $\mathfrak{n} = (x_2, x_3)$ the maximal ideal of B generated by x_2, x_3. Clearly $\mathfrak{q} \cap B \subset \mathfrak{n}$. Note that $A/\mathfrak{q}$ is a finite $(B/\mathfrak{q} \cap B)$-module. In particular $(A/\mathfrak{q})_{\mathfrak{n}}$ is a finite $(B/\mathfrak{q} \cap B)_{\mathfrak{n}}$-module. But $\mathfrak{m}$ is the unique maximal (indeed prime) ideal $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq \mathfrak{q}$ in A such that $\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{q} \cap (B/\mathfrak{q} \cap B) = \mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{q} \cap B$ (since in $A/\mathfrak{q}$, $x_1^{c_1} = x_2^{b_2}x_3^{a_3}$), so that $(A/\mathfrak{q})_{\mathfrak{n}} = (A/\mathfrak{q})_{\mathfrak{m}}$. Therefore, $(A/\mathfrak{q})_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is a finite $(B/\mathfrak{q} \cap B)_{\mathfrak{n}}$-module.

Moreover, in A/I, $x_2^{c_2} = x_1^{a_1}x_3^{b_3}$ and $x_3^{c_3} = x_1^{b_1}x_2^{a_2}$. Write $m(I) = (m_1, m_2, m_3)$. Since $m_2 = a_1c_3 + b_1b_3$ and $m_3 = a_1a_2 + b_1c_2$, then $x_2^{m_3} = x_2^{a_1a_2}x_2^{b_1c_2} = x_1^{a_1b_1}x_2^{a_1a_2}x_3^{b_1b_3}$ and $x_3^{m_2} = x_3^{a_1c_3}x_3^{b_1b_3} = x_1^{a_1b_1}x_2^{a_1a_2}x_3^{b_1b_3}$. Thus $x_2^{m_3} = x_3^{m_2}$ in A/I and $x_2^{m_3} - x_3^{m_2} \in I$. In particular, $x_2^{m_3} - x_3^{m_2} \in I \cap B \subseteq \mathfrak{q} \cap B$. Thus $(x_2, x_3^{m_2}) \subseteq x_2B + (\mathfrak{q} \cap B)$ and $x_2 \cdot B_{\mathfrak{n}}/(\mathfrak{q} \cap B)_{\mathfrak{n}}$ is $\mathfrak{n}B_{\mathfrak{n}}/(\mathfrak{q} \cap B)_{\mathfrak{n}}$-primary. Therefore, by [17, Corollary 11.2.6],

$$e(x_2; A_{\mathfrak{m}}/\mathfrak{q}_{\mathfrak{m}}) = e(x_2; B_{\mathfrak{n}}/(\mathfrak{q} \cap B)_{\mathfrak{n}}) \cdot \operatorname{rank}_{B_{\mathfrak{n}}/(\mathfrak{q} \cap B)_{\mathfrak{n}}}(A_{\mathfrak{m}}/\mathfrak{q}_{\mathfrak{m}}) \geq e(x_2; B_{\mathfrak{n}}/(\mathfrak{q} \cap B)_{\mathfrak{n}}).$$

Since $B/\mathfrak{q} \cap B \hookrightarrow A/\mathfrak{q}$ is an integral extension, then $\mathfrak{q} \cap B$ is a prime ideal of height 1 of B (thus principal) and $B_{\mathfrak{n}}/(\mathfrak{q} \cap B)_{\mathfrak{n}}$ is a one-dimensional Noetherian local domain, thus Cohen-Macaulay. By [17, Proposition 11.1.10], $e(x_2; B_{\mathfrak{n}}/(\mathfrak{q} \cap B)_{\mathfrak{n}}) = \operatorname{length}(B_{\mathfrak{n}}/x_2B_{\mathfrak{n}} + (\mathfrak{q} \cap B)_{\mathfrak{n}})$. Since $x_2B + \mathfrak{q} \cap B$ is $\mathfrak{n}$-primary, the latter length is equal to $\operatorname{length}(B/x_2B + \mathfrak{q} \cap B)$.

But $\mathfrak{q} \cap B = (f)$ for some irreducible polynomial $f \in B$ and $x_2^{m_3} - x_3^{m_2} \in \mathfrak{q} \cap B = (f)$. Thus $x_2^{m_3} - x_3^{m_2} = fg$ for some $g \in B$. By Lemma 8.2, and following its notations, f is a polynomial in $x_2^{p_3}$ and $x_3^{p_2}$ with pure non-zero terms in each of $x_2^{p_3}$ and $x_3^{p_2}$, where $\gcd(m_2, m_3) = e$ and $m_2 = ep_2$ and $m_3 = ep_3$, with $\gcd(p_2, p_3) = 1$. Thus $\mathfrak{q} \cap B \subseteq (x_2, x_3^{p_2})$. Hence $e(x_2; A_{\mathfrak{m}}/\mathfrak{q}_{\mathfrak{m}}) \geq \operatorname{length}(B/x_2B + \mathfrak{q} \cap B) \geq \operatorname{length}(B/(x_2, x_3^{p_2})) = p_2 = m_2/e$.

By Remark 8.1 and Lemma 7.5,

$$\begin{aligned} m_2 \quad & \geq e(x_2; A_{\mathfrak{m}}/(\mathfrak{p}_{m(I)})_{\mathfrak{m}}) + \sum_{\mathfrak{q} \in \operatorname{Min}(A/I) \setminus \{\mathfrak{p}_{m(I)}\}} e(x_2; A_{\mathfrak{m}}/\mathfrak{q}_{\mathfrak{m}}) \\ & \geq (m_2/d) + (m_2/e) \cdot (\operatorname{cardinal}\operatorname{Min}(A/I) - 1). \end{aligned}$$

So cardinal $\operatorname{Min}(A/I) \leq 1 + (e \cdot (d-1)/d)$. $\square$

Example 8.4. Let $I = (x_1^{15} - x_2^8 x_3^3, x_2^{10} - x_1^5 x_3^6, x_3^9 - x_1^{10} x_2^2)$ be the HN ideal associated to

$$\mathcal{M}_r = \begin{pmatrix} x_1^5 & x_2^2 & x_3^3 \\ x_2^8 & x_3^6 & x_1^{10} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $m(I) = (78, 105, 110)$, whose greatest common divisor is 1, thus $I = \mathfrak{p}_{m(I)}$ is prime by Theorem 7.8. Note that for HN ideals which are prime, the bound given in Theorem 8.3 is precisely 1. However it may happen that $s = \min\{\gcd(m_i(I), m_j(I)) \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq 3\} \neq 1$. For instance, in this case, $s = 2$.

Example 8.5. Let $I = (x_1^5 - x_2^2 x_3, x_2^4 - x_1 x_3^3, x_3^4 - x_1^4 x_2^2)$ be the HN ideal associated to

$$\mathcal{M} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2^2 & x_3 \\ x_2^2 & x_3^3 & x_1^4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $m(I) = (10, 16, 18)$, whose greatest common divisor d equals 2. Therefore, by Theorem 7.8, I is not prime. Moreover, $\min\{\gcd(m_i(I), m_j(I)) \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq 3\} = 2$. Thus, by Theorem 8.3, I has at most two minimal primes. By Lemma 7.7, the Herzog ideal $\mathfrak{p}_n$ associated to $n = m(I)/d = (5, 8, 9)$ is a minimal prime of I. To calculate $\mathfrak{p}_n$, we use [13, pages 137-139]: since 5 is the least integer number c_1 such that $c_1 n_1 \in \mathbb{N} n_2 + \mathbb{N} n_3$ ($5 \cdot 5 = 2 \cdot 8 + 1 \cdot 9$), 3 is the least integer number c_2 such that $c_2 n_2 \in \mathbb{N} n_1 + \mathbb{N} n_3$ ($3 \cdot 8 = 3 \cdot 5 + 1 \cdot 9$) and 2 is the least integer number c_3 such that $c_3 n_3 \in \mathbb{N} n_1 + \mathbb{N} n_2$ ($2 \cdot 9 = 2 \cdot 5 + 1 \cdot 8$), then $\mathfrak{p}_n = (x_1^5 - x_2^2 x_3, x_2^3 - x_1^3 x_3, x_3^2 - x_1^2 x_2)$. Observe that $\mathfrak{p}_n$ is the HN ideal associated to the matrix

$$\mathcal{M}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} x_1^3 & x_2 & x_3 \\ x_2^2 & x_3 & x_1^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Moreover, if $\operatorname{char}(k) \neq 2$, the k-algebra automorphism $\psi : A \to A$ defined by $\psi(x_1) = x_1$, $\psi(x_2) = -x_2$ and $\psi(x_3) = x_3$, leaves I invariant whereas it takes $\mathfrak{p}_n$ to the prime ideal $\mathfrak{q} = (x_1^5 - x_2^2 x_3, x_2^3 + x_1^3 x_3, x_3^2 + x_1^2 x_2)$. In other words, $I = \psi(I) \subset \psi(\mathfrak{p}_n) = \mathfrak{q}$, and $\mathfrak{q}$ is also a minimal prime of I. Thus the bound in Theorem 8.3 is attained. In fact, $e(x_1; A_{\mathfrak{m}}/\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{m}}) \geq m_1(I)/\gcd(m_1(I), m_2(I)) = 5$ for any minimal prime $\mathfrak{a}$ of I. Thus, by Remark 8.1, $\operatorname{length}((A/I)_{\mathfrak{a}}) = 1$ for each such $\mathfrak{a}$, and $I = \mathfrak{p}_n \cap \mathfrak{q}$ is radical. We will see in the next section that this fact holds more generally.

Note that if $\operatorname{char}(k) = 2$, then $(x_2^3 - x_1^3 x_3)^2 = -x_1 x_3^2 (x_1^5 - x_2^2 x_3) + x_2^2 (x_2^4 - x_1 x_3^3)$ and $(x_3^2 - x_1^2 x_2)^2 = x_3^4 - x_1^4 x_2^2$. Therefore $\mathfrak{p}_n^2 \subseteq I \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_n$ and $\operatorname{rad}(I) = \mathfrak{p}_n$. In particular I has only one minimal prime and is not radical (because it is not prime). Note that $\mathfrak{p}_n^2 \subsetneq I$.

Remark 8.6. Let I be an HN ideal and $m(I) = m = (m_1, m_2, m_3) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ its associated integer vector. Let $d = \gcd(m)$ and $n = m/d = (n_1, n_2, n_3) \in \mathbb{N}^3$, where $\gcd(n) = 1$. Then $\gcd(m_i, m_j) = d \cdot \gcd(n_i, n_j)$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq 3$. Thus

$$s = \min\{\gcd(m_i, m_j) \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq 3\} = d \cdot \min\{\gcd(n_i, n_j) \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq 3\} = d \cdot r,$$

say. Therefore $1 + (s(d-1)/d) = 1 + r(d-1)$. In particular, if some $\gcd(n_i, n_j) = 1$, then $\operatorname{cardinal}\operatorname{Min}(A/I) \leq d$. Does this bound hold in complete generality?

Remark 8.7. We have established two other estimates for the number of minimal primes in A/I. In a number of cases that we have looked at, these estimates are weaker than the one given in the statement of Theorem 8.3. However, the methods used to obtain them are of interest and the estimates themselves may prove to be of worth in other situations. So we confine ourselves to sketching some brief details concerning them.
(1) By the comment right at the end of Section 5, the number of minimal primes in A/I is one less than the number of minimal primes in $A/(v)$. Set $n = m(I)/\gcd(m(I))$. We can then use the argument of the proof of Remark 8.1, only this time applied to $e(x_i \cdot A_{\mathfrak{m}}/(v)A_{\mathfrak{m}})$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, to get the following estimate:

$$\operatorname{cardinal}\operatorname{Min}(A/I) \leq \min\{c_i c_j - n_k \mid \{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 3\}\}.$$

Here we have used symmetry and the fact that $e(x_i \cdot A_{\mathfrak{m}}/(\mathfrak{p}_n)_{\mathfrak{m}}) = n_i$ (see Lemma 7.5).
(2) A more delicate argument using minimal reductions and the criterion of multiplicity 1 establishes the following result. Suppose, possibly after relabelling the suffices, that $a_3 \geq c_1$, and that $b_3 \geq c_2$. Then

$$\operatorname{cardinal}\operatorname{Min}(A/I) \leq (c_1 c_2 - n_3)/2.$$

9. HN IDEALS ARE USUALLY RADICAL

As in the previous section, $A = k[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ is the polynomial ring with three variables over a field k. We start with the following result.

Theorem 9.1. *Let I be an HN ideal. If k has characteristic zero (or large enough), then* $\operatorname{rad}(I) = I$.

Proof. By Example 4.3, v_1, v_2 is a regular sequence. Thus $\operatorname{rad}(v) = (v) : \operatorname{Jac}(v)$ where $\operatorname{Jac}(v)$ is the Jacobian ideal of (v), i.e., the ideal generated by the 2×2 minors of the Jacobian matrix $\partial(v_1, v_2)/\partial(x_1, x_2, x_3)$, provided that k has characteristic zero or sufficiently large (see [20, Theorem 5.4.2, page 131 and comments on page 130]). Concretely, setting

$$\begin{aligned}
J_1 &= c_1 c_2 x_1^{c_1-1} x_2^{c_2-1} - a_1 b_2 x_1^{a_1-1} x_2^{b_2-1} x_3^{c_3}, \\
J_2 &= b_3 c_1 x_1^{a_1+c_1-1} x_3^{b_3-1} + a_1 a_3 x_1^{a_1-1} x_2^{b_2} x_3^{c_3-1}, \\
J_3 &= b_2 b_3 x_1^{a_1} x_2^{b_2-1} x_3^{c_3-1} + a_3 c_2 x_2^{b_2+c_2-1} x_3^{a_3-1},
\end{aligned}$$

these being the three generators of $\operatorname{Jac}(v)$,

$$\operatorname{rad}(v) = (v) : \operatorname{Jac}(v) = (v) : (J_1, J_2, J_3) = [(v) : J_1] \cap [(v) : J_2] \cap [(v) : J_3] \subseteq (v) : J_1.$$

Write $J_1 = x_1^{a_1-1} x_2^{b_2-1} h$, with $h = -a_1 b_2 D + s x_1^{b_1} x_2^{a_2} \in A$, $D = x_3^{c_3} - x_1^{b_1} x_2^{a_2}$ and $s = c_1 c_2 - a_1 b_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Now, by Proposition 2.2 and Corollary 2.3, and using the general rule of quotient ideals that $L : fg = (L : f) : g$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&(v) : J_1 = ((v) : x_1^{a_1-1}x_2^{b_2-1}) : h = [(I \cap (u)) : x_1^{a_1-1}x_2^{b_2-1}] : h = \\ &[(I : x_1^{a_1-1}x_2^{b_2-1}) \cap ((u) : x_1^{a_1-1}x_2^{b_2-1})] : h = (I \cap (x_1, x_2)) : h = \\ &(I : h) \cap ((x_1, x_2) : h) = (I : x_1^{b_1}x_2^{a_2}) \cap ((x_1, x_2) : x_3^{c_3}) = I \cap (x_1, x_2) \subseteq I.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\operatorname{rad}(v) \subseteq I$. By Lemma 5.1, $\operatorname{rad}(I) = \operatorname{rad}((v) : u_1) \subseteq \operatorname{rad}(v) : u_1 \subseteq I : u_1 = I$. $\square$

Remark 9.2. In particular, $\operatorname{rad}(v) = \operatorname{rad}(I) \cap \operatorname{rad}(u) = I \cap (x_1, x_2) = (v_1, v_2, x_1D, x_2D)$.

Example 9.3. Let I be the HN ideal considered in Example 8.5. By Theorem 9.1, if k has characteristic zero, I is radical. In fact, we have shown that, if $\operatorname{char}(k) \neq 2$, then I is radical, whereas if $\operatorname{char}(k) = 2$, then I is not radical.

This fact holds rather more generally (see the discussion in Example 9.7 below). Before coming to this, we need the following two results.

Proposition 9.4. *Let I be an HN ideal and $m(I) = (m_1, m_2, m_3) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ its associated integer vector. If $a_1 = 1$, then $(A/I)_{x_2x_3}$ is isomorphic to $(k[x_2, x_3]/(x_2^{m_3} - x_3^{m_2}))_{x_2x_3}$. In particular, their total quotient rings $Q(A/I)$ and $Q(k[x_2, x_3]/(x_2^{m_3} - x_3^{m_2}))$ are isomorphic. Furthermore, the cardinality of* $\operatorname{Min}(A/I)$ *is equal to the cardinality of a maximal complete set of orthogonal idempotents of $Q(k[x_2, x_3]/(x_2^{m_3} - x_3^{m_2}))$.*

Proof. One has $I = (v_1, v_2, D)$, with $v_1 = x_1^{c_1} - x_2^{b_2}x_3^{a_3}$, $v_2 = x_2^{c_2} - x_1^{a_1}x_3^{b_3}$, $D = x_3^{c_3} - x_1^{b_1}x_2^{a_2}$. In $A_{x_2x_3}$, since $a_1 = 1$, $x_1 = x_2^{c_2}x_3^{-b_3} - v_2x_3^{-b_3}$. Thus, in $A_{x_2x_3}$,

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 &= (x_2^{c_2}x_3^{-b_3} - v_2x_3^{-b_3})^{c_1} - x_2^{b_2}x_3^{a_3} = x_2^{c_1c_2}x_3^{-b_3c_1} - x_2^{b_2}x_3^{a_3} + v_2p = \\ &= x_2^{b_2}x_3^{-b_3c_1}(x_2^{c_1c_2-b_2} - x_3^{a_3+b_3c_1}) + v_2p = x_2^{b_2}x_3^{-b_3c_1}(x_2^{m_3} - x_3^{m_2}) + v_2p, \quad \text{and} \\ D &= x_3^{c_3} - (x_2^{c_2}x_3^{-b_3} - v_2x_3^{-b_3})^{b_1}x_2^{a_2} = x_3^{c_3} - x_2^{a_2+b_1c_2}x_3^{-b_1b_3} + v_2q = \\ &= x_3^{-b_1b_3}(x_3^{b_1b_3+c_3} - x_2^{a_2+b_1c_2}) + v_2q = x_3^{-b_1b_3}(x_3^{m_2} - x_2^{m_3}) + v_2q, \end{aligned}$$

with $p, q \in A_{x_2x_3}$. Therefore $IA_{x_2x_3} = (x_2^{m_3} - x_3^{m_2}, v_2)A_{x_2x_3} = (x_2^{m_3} - x_3^{m_2}, x_1 - x_2^{c_2}x_3^{-b_3})A_{x_2x_3}$. So $(A/I)_{x_2x_3} \cong (k[x_2, x_3]/(x_2^{m_3} - x_3^{m_2}))_{x_2x_3}$. Since $x_2, x_3 \in A$ are regular modulo I and each of $x_2, x_3 \in k[x_2, x_3]$ is regular modulo $(x_2^{m_3} - x_3^{m_2})$, the total quotient ring of A/I is isomorphic to the total quotient ring of $k[x_2, x_3]/(x_2^{m_3} - x_3^{m_2})$.

Let V be the affine k-variety defined by I and $R(V)$ the ring of rational functions of V, i.e., the total quotient ring $Q(A/I)$ of A/I (see e.g. [13, III, Proposition 3.4]). So $R(V) \cong Q(k[x_2, x_3]/(x_2^{m_3} - x_3^{m_2}))$. Let $V = V_1 \cup \ldots \cup V_r$ be the decomposition of V into irreducible components, which induces an isomorphism $R(V) \cong R(V_1) \times \ldots \times R(V_r)$ (see e.g. [13, III, Proposition 2.8]). So the number of minimal primes of I is equal to the number of elements in a maximal complete set of orthogonal idempotents of $R(V) \cong Q(k[x_2, x_3]/(x_2^{m_3} - x_3^{m_2}))$. $\square$

Proposition 9.5. *Let I be an HN ideal and $m(I) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ its associated integer vector. If $a_1 = 1$ and $\gcd(m_2(I), m_3(I)) = d$ is prime, then $\gcd(m(I)) = d$ as well and I is not a* *prime ideal. Moreover,* $\operatorname{cardinal}\operatorname{Min}(A/I) \leq d$. *Furthermore, if* $\operatorname{char}(k) = d$, *then I is primary, is not radical, and* $\mathfrak{p}_{m(I)}^{(d)} \subsetneq I \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_{m(I)}$.

Proof. Let us prove first that I is not a prime ideal. Note that once this is established, then by Theorem 7.8, $\gcd(m(I)) \neq 1$; but since $\gcd(m_2(I), m_3(I)) = d$ is prime, $\gcd(m(I))$ must be equal to d as well. In particular, by Theorem 8.3, $\operatorname{cardinal}\operatorname{Min}(A/I) \leq 1 + (d(d-1)/d) = d$.

Write $m(I) = m = (m_1, m_2, m_3) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ and $m_2 = dn_2$, $m_3 = dn_3$ with $\gcd(n_2, n_3) = 1$. Then

$$x_2^{m_3} - x_3^{m_2} = (x_2^{n_3} - x_3^{n_2})(x_2^{(d-1)n_3} + x_2^{(d-2)n_3}x_3^{n_2} + \ldots + x_2^{n_3}x_3^{(d-2)n_2} + x_3^{(d-1)n_2}),$$

where $x_2^{n_3} - x_3^{n_2}$ is irreducible (by e.g. [6, Lemma 10.15] or Lemma 8.2 above).

Set $B = k[x_2, x_3]$, $\mathfrak{a} = (x_2^{n_3} - x_3^{n_2})$ and $\mathfrak{b} = (x_2^{(d-1)n_3} + \ldots + x_3^{(d-1)n_2})$, the corresponding ideals generated in B, and $C = B/\mathfrak{a}$. In C, $x_2^{n_3} = x_3^{n_2}$ so that in C, $x_2^{(d-1)n_3} + \ldots + x_3^{(d-1)n_2} = dx_2^{(d-1)n_3}$. Hence, if $\operatorname{char}(k) \neq d$, $\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b}$ contains the element $x_2^{(d-1)n_3}$ and so $(B/(\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b}))_{x_2}$ becomes the zero ring. In other words, $\mathfrak{a}B_{x_2}$ and $\mathfrak{b}B_{x_2}$ are relatively prime ideals of B_{x_2}. By the Chinese remainder theorem (see e.g [13, II, Prop. 1.7]),

$$B_{x_2}/(x_2^{m_3} - x_3^{m_2})B_{x_2} \cong (B_{x_2}/\mathfrak{a}B_{x_2}) \times (B_{x_2}/\mathfrak{b}B_{x_2}).$$

In particular, by Proposition 9.4,

$$(A/I)_{x_2x_3} \cong (k[x_2, x_3]/(x_2^{m_3} - x_3^{m_2}))_{x_2x_3} \cong (B/\mathfrak{a})_{x_2x_3} \times (B/\mathfrak{b})_{x_2x_3},$$

which is not a domain. In particular, I is not prime.

If $\operatorname{char}(k) = d$, then $x_2^{m_3} - x_3^{m_2} = (x_2^{n_3} - x_3^{n_2})^d$. Keeping the same notations as above, by Proposition 9.4 again,

$$(A/I)_{x_2x_3} \cong (k[x_2, x_3]/(x_2^{m_3} - x_3^{m_2}))_{x_2x_3} \cong (B/\mathfrak{a}^d)_{x_2x_3},$$

where $\mathfrak{a}$ is a prime ideal in B and a complete intersection (in fact principal), so $\mathfrak{a}^d = \mathfrak{a}^{(d)}$, the d-th symbolic power, and $\mathfrak{a}^d$ is $\mathfrak{a}$-primary. Hence the nilradical of $B/\mathfrak{a}^d$ is the (non-zero) prime ideal $\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{a}^d$, whose d-th power is zero; in fact the colength of $\mathfrak{a}^d$ at $\mathfrak{a}$ is precisely d. Since x_2x_3 lies outside $\mathfrak{a}$, this structure is preserved when we localize at the element x_2x_3. In the light of the isomorphism established above, we deduce that $(A/I)_{x_2x_3}$ has a (non-zero) prime nilradical with d-th power equal to zero, so this prime radical must therefore be $(\mathfrak{p}_m/I)_{x_2x_3}$. Since I is unmixed and x_2x_3 is regular modulo I, we must have that I is $\mathfrak{p}_m$-primary and $\mathfrak{p}_m^d \subseteq I \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_m$. In particular, $\mathfrak{p}_m^{(d)} \subseteq I$. Furthermore, $\mathfrak{p}_m^{(d)}$ equals I if and only if they have the same local co-length at $\mathfrak{p}_m$. Now $A_{\mathfrak{p}_m}$ is a regular local ring of dimension 2, so the local colength of $A/\mathfrak{p}_m^{(d)}$ at $\mathfrak{p}_m$ is $d(d+1)/2$. Hence the co-lengths agree if and only if $d(d+1)/2 = d$, i.e., $d = 1$. So for $d > 1$, I properly contains $\mathfrak{p}_m^{(d)}$. □

For the concrete case $d = 2$, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 9.6. *Let I be an HN ideal and $m(I) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ its associated integer vector. Suppose that $a_1 = 1$ and* $\gcd(m_2(I), m_3(I)) = 2$. *In this case, if* $\operatorname{char}(k) \neq 2$, *then I is radical and* *equal to the intersection of exactly two prime ideals; on the other hand, if* $\operatorname{char}(k) = 2$*, then* I *is primary, is not radical, and* $\mathfrak{p}^{(2)}_{m(I)} \subsetneq I \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_{m(I)}$.

Proof. By Proposition 9.5, we have only to show that I is radical whenever $\operatorname{char}(k) \neq 2$. Thus suppose that $\operatorname{char}(k) \neq 2$. Write $m(I) = (m_1, m_2, m_3) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ and $m_2 = 2n_2$, $m_3 = 2n_3$ with $\gcd(n_2, n_3) = 1$. Then $x_2^{m_3} - x_3^{m_2} = (x_2^{n_3} - x_3^{n_2})(x_2^{n_3} + x_3^{n_2})$ is a decomposition into prime factors in $B = k[x_2, x_3]$ (see e.g. [6, Lemma 10.15] or Lemma 8.2 above). Let $\mathfrak{a}_1 = (x_2^{n_3} - x_3^{n_2})$ and $\mathfrak{a}_2 = (x_2^{n_3} + x_3^{n_2})$ be the corresponding prime ideals generated in B. Then $\mathfrak{a}_1 \neq \mathfrak{a}_2$ and, localising at x_2, $\mathfrak{a}_1 B_{x_2}$ and $\mathfrak{a}_2 B_{x_2}$ are two relatively prime ideals of B_{x_2}. By the Chinese remainder theorem (see e.g [13, II, Prop. 1.7]),

$$B_{x_2}/(x_2^{m_3} - x_3^{m_2})B_{x_2} \cong (B_{x_2}/(x_2^{n_3} - x_3^{n_2})B_{x_2}) \times (B_{x_2}/(x_2^{n_3} + x_3^{n_2})B_{x_2}).$$

In particular, by Proposition 9.4,

$$(A/I)_{x_2x_3} \cong (k[x_2, x_3]/(x_2^{m_3} - x_3^{m_2}))_{x_2x_3} \cong (B/\mathfrak{a}_1)_{x_2x_3} \times (B/\mathfrak{a}_2)_{x_2x_3},$$

which is a reduced ring. Since x_2x_3 is regular modulo I, A/I is reduced and I is radical. □

Example 9.7. Consider (again) the HN ideal I of Example 8.5, which satisfies the hypotheses of Corollary 9.6, i.e., $a_1 = 1$ and $\gcd(m_2(I), m_3(I)) = 2$. Thus one can conclude that if $\operatorname{char}(k) \neq 2$, I is radical and equal to the intersection of two primes, whereas if $\operatorname{char}(k) = 2$, then I is primary, is not radical, and $\mathfrak{p}^{(2)}_{m(I)} \subsetneq I \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_{m(I)}$.

For the concrete case $d = 3$, we have the following result.

Corollary 9.8. *Let* I *be an HN ideal and* $m(I) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ *its associated integer vector. Suppose that* $a_1 = 1$ *and* $\gcd(m_2(I), m_3(I)) = 3$*. In this case, if* $\operatorname{char}(k) \neq 2, 3$ *and* k *contains a square root of* -3*, then* I *is radical and equal to the intersection of exactly three prime ideals; if* $\operatorname{char}(k) \neq 3$ *and either* $\operatorname{char}(k) = 2$ *or else* k *does not contain a square root of* -3*, then* I *is radical and equal to the intersection of exactly two prime ideals; finally, if* $\operatorname{char}(k) = 3$*, then* I *is primary, is not radical, and* $\mathfrak{p}^{(3)}_{m(I)} \subsetneq I \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_{m(I)}$.

Proof. Write $m(I) = (m_1, m_2, m_3) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ and $m_2 = 3n_2$, $m_3 = 3n_3$ with $\gcd(n_2, n_3) = 1$. Then $x_2^{m_3} - x_3^{m_2}$ decomposes as $(x_2^{n_3} - x_3^{n_2})(x_2^{2n_3} + x_2^{n_3}x_3^{n_2} + x_3^{2n_2})$, where $x_2^{n_3} - x_3^{n_2}$ is irreducible (by e.g. [6, Lemma 10.15] or Lemma 8.2 above). On the other hand, any proper factor of $x_2^{2n_3} + x_2^{n_3}x_3^{n_2} + x_3^{2n_2}$ is a proper factor of $x_2^{m_3} - x_3^{m_2}$. Hence, by Lemma 8.2 again, any decomposition of $x_2^{2n_3} + x_2^{n_3}x_3^{n_2} + x_3^{2n_2}$ must be of the form $(x_2^{n_3} + \lambda x_3^{n_2})(x_2^{n_3} + \lambda^{-1}x_3^{n_2})$, $\lambda \in k \setminus \{0\}$. Therefore $\lambda + \lambda^{-1} = 1$ and hence $\lambda^2 - \lambda + 1 = 0$.

Suppose now that $\operatorname{char}(k) \neq 2, 3$ and that k contains a square root of -3. Take $\lambda_0 \in k$ a solution of $\lambda^2 - \lambda + 1 = 0$. Set $B = k[x_2, x_3]$ and $\mathfrak{a}_1 = (x_2^{n_3} - x_3^{n_2})$, $\mathfrak{a}_2 = (x_2^{n_3} + \lambda_0 x_3^{n_2})$ and $\mathfrak{a}_3 = (x_2^{n_3} + \lambda_0^{-1} x_3^{n_2})$ the distinct prime ideals generated in B. Localising at x_2, $\mathfrak{a}_1 B_{x_2}$, $\mathfrak{a}_2 B_{x_2}$ and $\mathfrak{a}_3 B_{x_2}$ become three pairwise relatively prime ideals of B_{x_2}. By the Chinese remainder theorem (see e.g [13, II, Prop. 1.7]),

$$B_{x_2}/(x_2^{m_3} - x_3^{m_2})B_{x_2} \cong (B_{x_2}/\mathfrak{a}_1 B_{x_2}) \times (B_{x_2}/\mathfrak{a}_2 B_{x_2}) \times (B_{x_2}/\mathfrak{a}_2 B_{x_3}).$$

In particular, by Proposition 9.4,

$$(A/I)_{x_2x_3} \cong (k[x_2,x_3]/(x_2^{m_3}-x_3^{m_2}))_{x_2x_3} \cong (B/\mathfrak{a}_1)_{x_2x_3} \times (B/\mathfrak{a}_2)_{x_2x_3} \times (B/\mathfrak{a}_3)_{x_2x_3},$$

which is a reduced ring. By [13, III, Proposition 4.23], $Q(A/I)$ is the product of three fields. So I has exactly three minimal primes. Moreover, since $(A/I)_{x_2x_3}$ is reduced and x_2x_3 is regular modulo I, A/I is reduced and I is radical. Thus I is the intersection of exactly three prime ideals.

If $\operatorname{char}(k) \neq 3$ and either $\operatorname{char}(k) = 2$ or else k does not contain a square root of -3, then $\mathfrak{a} = (x_2^{n_3} - x_3^{n_2})$ and $\mathfrak{b} = (x_2^{2n_3} + x_2^{n_3}x_3^{n_2} + x_3^{2n_2})$ are two distinct prime ideals of $B = k[x_2,x_3]$. Remark that $3x_2^{2n_3} = (2x_2^{n_3} + x_3^{n_2})(x_2^{n_3} - x_3^{n_2}) + (x_2^{2n_3} + x_2^{n_3}x_3^{n_2} + x_3^{2n_2})$. Thus, localizing at x_2, $\mathfrak{a}B_{x_2}$ and $\mathfrak{b}B_{x_2}$ become two relatively prime ideals of B_{x_2}. Proceeding as before, one deduces that $(A/I)_{x_2x_3}$ is reduced and that $Q(A/I)$ is the product of two fields. So I is radical and equal to the intersection of exactly two prime ideals.

If $\operatorname{char}(k) = 3$, then finish by applying Proposition 9.5. $\square$

Example 9.9. Let $I = (x_1^4 - x_2^2x_3^3, x_2^5 - x_1x_3^3, x_3^6 - x_1^3x_2^3)$ be the HN ideal associated to

$$\mathcal{M} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2^3 & x_3^3 \\ x_2^2 & x_3^3 & x_1^3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here $a_1 = 1$ and $m(I) = m = (21, 15, 18)$, so $\gcd(m_2, m_3) = 3$. Thus one can apply Corollary 9.8. For instance, if $k = \mathbb{C}$, I is radical and equal to the intersection of three prime ideals, whereas if $k = \mathbb{Q}$, I is radical and equal to the intersection of two prime ideals. On the other hand, if $\operatorname{char}(k) = 3$, I is primary, is not radical, and $\mathfrak{p}_m^{(3)} \subsetneq I \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_m$.

In any case, the Herzog ideal $\mathfrak{p}_m$ associated to $m = (21, 15, 18)$ is a minimal prime of I. A simple computation shows that $\mathfrak{p}_m = (x_1^3 - x_2^3x_3, x_2^4 - x_1^2x_3, x_3^2 - x_1x_2)$.

If $k = \mathbb{Q}$, Singular (see [7]) gives for the minimal prime of I other than $\mathfrak{p}_m$ the ideal

$$(x_1^4 - x_2^2x_3^3, x_2^5 - x_1x_3^3, x_1^3x_3 + x_1x_2^4 + x_2^3x_3^2, x_1^2x_2^2 + x_1x_2x_3^2 + x_3^4, x_1^3x_2 + x_1^2x_3^2 + x_2^4x_3),$$

which is not a binomial ideal. We recall that, according to the work of Eisenbud and Sturmfels [5], if $k = \mathbb{C}$, all the associated primes of I must be binomial.

In this connection, we remark that the results of [5], and the rich combinatorial and algorithmic theory of binomial ideals that grew from it, could well throw light on the questions left open in this paper. We intend to pursue this line of enquiry in future work.

Acknowledgement. We gratefully acknowledge the support of the Glasgow Mathematical Journal Trust Fund and the MEC Grant MTM2007-67493 in carrying out this research, and should like to thank the School of Mathematics, University of Edinburgh, and the Departament de Matemàtica Aplicada 1, Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, Barcelona, for their hospitality. We would like to thank the referee for a careful reading of the paper that has helped to improve its presentation.

References

[1] N. Bourbaki, Algèbre, Chapitre 10, Algèbre homologique. Masson, Paris, 1980.

[2] H. Bresinsky, Monomial Space Curves in $\mathbb{A}^3$ as set-theoretic complete intersections. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **75** (1979), 23–24.

[3] W. Bruns, J. Herzog, Cohen-Macaulay rings, Cambrige studies in advanced mathematics 39, Cambridge University Press 1993.

[4] J.A. Eagon, D.G. Northcott, Ideals defined by matrices and a certain complex associated with them. Proc. Roy. Soc. Ser. A **269** (1962), 188–204.

[5] D. Eisenbud, B. Sturmfels, Binomial ideals. Duke Math. J. **84** (1996), no. 1, 1–45.

[6] R.M. Fossum, The Divisor Class Group of a Krull Domain, Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb., Band 74, Springer-Verlag. New York/heidelberg, 1973.

[7] G.-M. Greuel, G. Pfister, and H. Schönemann. Singular. A Computer algebra system for polynomial computations. University of Kaiserslautern. http://www.singular.uni-kl.de.

[8] M. Herrmann, B. Moonen, O. Villamayor, Ideals of linear type and some variants. The Curves Seminar at Queen's, Vol. VI (Kingston, ON, 1989), Exp. No. H, 37 pp., Queen's Papers in Pure and Appl. Math., 83, Queen's Univ., Kingston, ON, 1989.

[9] J. Herzog, Generators and relations of abelian semigroups and semigroup rings. Manuscripta Math. **3** (1970), 175–193.

[10] C. Huneke, Linkage and the Koszul homology of ideals. Amer. J. Math. **104** (1982), 1043–1062.

[11] C. Huneke, The theory of d-sequences and powers of ideals, Adv. in Math. **46** (1982), 249-279.

[12] I. Kaplansky, Commutative rings. Revised edition. The University of Chicago Press, Chicago, Ill.-London, 1974. ix+182 pp. 13-02

[13] E. Kunz, Introduction to commutative algebra and algebraic geometry, Translated from the German by Michael Ackerman, With a preface by David Mumford, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1985.

[14] D.G. Northcott, A homological investigation of a certain residual ideal, Math. Ann. **150** (1963), 99–110.

[15] L. O'Carroll, F. Planas-Vilanova, Irreducible affine space curves and the uniform Artin-Rees property on the prime spectrum, J. Algebra **320** (2008), 3339-3344.

[16] F. Planas-Vilanova, Vanishing of the André-Quillen homology module $H_2(A,B,G(I))$. Comm. Algebra **24** (1996), 2777-2791.

[17] I. Swanson, C. Huneke, Integral closure of ideals, rings, and modules. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 336. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.

[18] B. Ulrich, Lectures on Linkage and Deformation, ICTP lecture notes 1992.

[19] G. Valla, On determinantal ideals that are set-theoretic complete intersections, Compositio Math. **42** (1981), 3-11.

[20] W.V. Vasconcelos, Computational methods in commutative algebra and algebraic geometry. Algorithms and Computation in Mathematics, 2. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.

Liam O'Carroll
Maxwell Institute for Mathematical Sciences
School of Mathematics
University of Edinburgh
EH9 3JZ, Edinburgh, Scotland
L.O'Carroll@ed.ac.uk

Francesc Planas-Vilanova
Departament de Matemàtica Aplicada 1
Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya
Diagonal 647, ETSEIB
08028 Barcelona, Catalunya
francesc.planas@upc.edu